

3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

I. Opening

- a. Call to Order
- b. Attendance
 - i. McKenzie.373 for Frank
 - ii. Holmes.784 for Paryner
 - iii. Mengesha.5 for Lovejoy
 - a. Polavarapu.4 for Bertha Kim
- c. Swearing in of Alternates
- d. Approval of Minutes

II. Open Forum for Public

- a. *Stavroula Pabst*: Before I start, I just want to say I was allowed to present this. I am a fourth year and my name is Stav. This is a slideshow about me being mad. This is a picture of when I ran into Gerard at Bullwinkle's. I would like to point out the pasta at Marketplace is bad. I go in there, and they say it's different now. It's like the Union Market pasta. This is capitalism at its finest. I'm not introducing a plan to fix this. Does anyone know of any parties Friday? Please let me know. I have more stuff. If you need me to crash a party, I will crash it for \$5.95 unless there's a lawsuit. If anyone would like to add anything, I need money for Buckeyethon because that's in two weeks. I want to take questions on this. Actually this is very serious.
 - i. *Clark:* Have you tried the General Tso's at Marketplace?
 - ii. Pabst: I'm vegetarian and it's too spicy.
 - iii. *Clark:* They have a vegetarian version.
 - iv. *Liu:* You can request a less spicy version.
 - v. *Shaffer*: Why are you eating on campus as a fourth year?
 - vi. *Pabst*: I didn't want to make things for myself and I like to be surrounded by food.
 - vii. *Clark*: If you ever want me to swipe you in, I have the Scarlet 14 and 6 swipes left.
 - viii. Pabst: Yes, I might take you up on that.
 - ix. *Pabst*: Okay, well tell me if I'm taking too long, but I wanted to bring up about Donald Trump being president and the Muslim ban. I read a lot about this and I'm confused about the laws and I think you guys know more than me, but I find this heinous. I know how you all as a student body, I want to make sure—I



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

want to know what we can do to protect students. The definition of sanctuary campus is not the same and I want you all to consider this.

- x. Di Scala: Have you heard the event at OAA?
- xi. Pabst: No, I haven't.
- xii. Di Scala: I can send you that.

III. Updates

a. *Danielle Di Scala:* I don't have anything besides the fact that I hope you read this. It is important because it effects this organization for the next five years.

IV. Executive Report

a. *Gerard Basalla*: To follow up from the last GA, we sent over the strategic plan and they are going to use your voice. I am appreciative because it gives me a lot of autonomy when I go into meetings. I contacted President Drake and the board of trustee's office and they told me they are not considering [concealed carry]. I read the entire resolution opposing DeVos' confirmation to Senator Portman's office, so that happened. On a related note, petitioning is happening so be nice because it is a tough thing to do to talk to random people. One noteworthy thing: our relationship with faculty is at an all-time high. I've had projects sent to me which is rare. I've been using textbooks as a model and have been contacted about GA and Mario's contribution in reviewing gen eds and we'll be pushing a lot in the coming months.

V. Committee Report

- a. Allocations—Derek Whiddon
 - i. Funded:
 - 1. Fisher Citizenship Program- \$1500
 - 2. Kappa Phi Lambda Sorority- \$1500
 - 3. Korean Student Association-\$380
 - 4. Humans of The Ohio State University-\$385
 - 5. Genesis Dance Team- \$1500
 - ii. Also, the best girl scout cookies are the short bread trefoil.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

VI. Old Business

a. No old business.

VII. New Business

- **a.** a. 49-R-39 A Resolution to Request a Better Transparency of the International Fee
 - i. *Liu*: This is really about the sudden change in the fee. It used to be \$498 dollars and now it is \$996 dollars for students admitted after AU 15. So basically, international students need to pay the fee charged to everyone like the domestic fee, and they need to pay the out of state surcharge and then the international surcharge, which is \$1000ish. International students need to pay a lot extra for the same services. According to the website and the first footnote, they are targeting language proficiency and the pre-enrollment test which did not change at all. They changed from paper to computer, and you have to pay for your own VPN which is a program that allows Chinese students to access American websites banned in China and it has all kinds of problems, like it causes computers to shut down and get the lowest scores. There is on and off campus temporary housing, but it is only for students who have the contract with the school, which disadvantages transfer students. On the OAA website, they either don't offer discounts at all or they don't have the discount. The only discount for international students is the Red Roof, which is \$64 dollars a night. You actually need to pay \$35 per night before the dorms open. The strategic plan includes framework expansion, dining and housing fee adjustments that benefits everyone, but we have to pay extra. No one was able to explain this at the student center and the registrar, admission and international office did not say. According to Sam Whipple it is the only fee that doesn't go through University Senate and is decided by the board. You can see that it doubled. Why should you care? Since only a few of you are international. It is supported by domestic and international students. I did a survey and for privacy reasons I



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

can't share the names, but I got a good result that said it is too much and we don't enjoy the services or take the language test. Students also say that this is for on campus students when they are studying aboard in Germany etc. There is a huge conflict in the cost of the fee. They refuse to explain it. You can also see that in the footnote, it compares the international surcharges per school. The University of Washington has the same ranking and it shares a similar recognition as Ohio State and they charge a smaller amount. Ohio State charges some of the highest fees. I encourage you to support this to request a better international fee.

- ii. *Moved to questions*.
- iii. *Clark*: Compared to other universities, can you say how much lower they are?
- iv. *Liu*: OSU charges 1k and Iowa charges about \$120 or more and usually their fee is about 100ish. Washington charges under \$100. NYU charges barely over \$100. The University of Michigan did not answer my call and I cannot find the fee by asking my friends.
- v. *Clark*: On the spectrum, is ours astronomically high, or in the middle?
- vi. *Liu*: It is one of the highest.
- vii. *Gracia*: Are you saying you want to wave housing fees or they should go to that?
- viii. *Liu*: We want a better explanation of how this fee is spent. That is one thing they don't explain. The only propose is to demand a better resolution and have this fee go through fiscal and not directly to board of trustees.
- ix. *Bidna:* What do you feel is the benefit for this to go through committee?
- x. *Liu*: Because of the sudden change itself. If it goes through fiscal, there may be a better explanation or use of it. Because I request an annual report of how the fee is spent, it will help provide a better experience and hopefully it will be lowered.
- xi. *Gracia*: Is there a reason it doesn't go through the senate?



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- xii. *Liu:* Whipple said he didn't know and it never went through there.
- xiii. *Basalla*: Senate fiscal would be the correct route because they give reports. I sit there and we review fees and Campus Parc, anything that has enough interest from the body.
- xiv. *Belfiglio*: It is separate because this is viewed as tuition and not part of the other fees.
- xv. *Liu*: The out-of-state fee is for the tax issues to match the tax. We pay the tax anyway, but it's just for programming.
- xvi. *Belfiglio*: I'm not saying it is a fee, I'm saying that the university may view it this way.
- xvii. Moved to discussion.
- xviii. *Shaffer*: I like this resolution I think it should pass but the third whereas clause doesn't have a citation. Where is that coming from? Even if it is the first source, I think adding that would be more useful. I'm not sure where it comes from.
 - xix. *Liu*: that is within the same fee explanation.
 - xx. Shaffer: it is the registrar one? I would suggest adding that footnote to all of them.
 - xxi. *Shaffer*: friendly amendments.
- xxii. *Shaffer*: Is there a reason for the fifth clause.
- xxiii. *Liu*: It is from an interview I did with an international student. The lowest is 1901, which is not much English.
- xxiv. *Shaffer*: Those are class numbers?
- xxv. Liu: Yes.
- xxvi. *Shaffer*: For that quote, I would recommend adding a citation.
- xxvii. *Shaffer*: friendly amendments.
- xxviii. *Shaffer*: the last whereas clause, while it is right, I don't believe there is data to support that, unless emissary can provide citation.
 - xxix. Proposed amendment to strike clause.
 - xxx. *Liu*: it was from a survey, but I would not like to release email information from the public.
 - xxxi. *Bodey*: I think it is fine with the corrections and I would say that transparency is great, but don't be surprised if this is the



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

answer you don't want. There is a reason why our tuition hasn't increase. Don't be surprised by the answer.

xxxii. *PASSED* with unanimous consent.

- **b.** b. 49-R-40 A Resolution to Amend the Elections Bylaws
 - i. Moved to committee on the whole.
 - ii. Exited committee on the whole
 - iii. Di Scala: I yield to the members of the CBRC.
 - iv. *Gravalis*: Every five years (ending in 2 and 7), we look at the constitution, the bylaws, and the elections bylaws and we are allowed to make any changes we see fit. We made significant changes to the constitution. They get passed by the student body because that is the only way it can change. What needs to go through GA are the election and organizational bylaws. We didn't change a lot with elections; it is mostly about finance and clarification.
 - v. *Bodey*: The easiest way to see the changes is to viewed the marked up version, or ask questions.
 - vi. *Gravalis*: You can ask why we changed something about the election bylaws. If you have organizational bylaws, we can talk about that later.
 - vii. *Gracia*: Why did you change the campaign finance by reducing it?
 - viii. *Gravalis*: We decided to reduce it by half because you don't need to spend \$4,000 and it helps those who don't have that much money. It is harder to fudge a CBR with \$2,000. We changed the amounted fined as well. When we were discussing it, and a lot of us were on campaigns, we realized a lot of it was wasteful and it's about reaching out.
 - ix. *Bodey*: There is an incentive to reach out to other organizations and there is an expectation to raise \$4,000; third party and outside groups can more easily influence the campaigns.
 - x. *Bidna:* Why did you decrease the number of signatures to 500?
 - xi. *Gravalis*: We decreased that to make it easier for people not in USG to get that many. Most of you have gone through a campaign and we have you sign up for a shift and we know



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

where to send you, but if you are running on your own, that is a lot of hours to get petitions. Say you get an average of 25 per hour, that is a lot of hours just to run and we wanted to make it easier to just get on the ballot.

- xii. *Bidna*: Don't you find that if we decrease it by such a substantial amount it won't show as much dedication? I had a conversation about how easy it is to 750 signatures from a school of 50,000 students.
- xiii. *Belfiglio:* We feel that isn't a large decrease and getting 500 still shows you are serious. If you have to get that many, it's still at least 10 hours of work to get on the ballot.
- xiv. *Bodey*: You still have to win the election and it won't make or break who is deserving.
- xv. *Clark:* In the bylaws, it says you have changed north, south, and west campus seats to living area seats. Are you getting rid of the divide?
- xvi. *Bodey*: Yeah, we are. With how it is changing and with framework 2.0 and the plan, we are just going with on or off campus senators and most on campus students experience similar things. Senators may--say I live in Scott and if there are long lines there, I'd care more about that. We felt it was more fair especially with off campus and with increased involvement on High Street and it may make a better representation than just the lights on the south having higher precedent. Senators would have a higher independence.
- xvii. *Gravalis*: A lot of students don't know where they are living the next year and we don't want to get in that gray area where you live on north but represent south.
- xviii. *Belfiglio*: Dan Marchese, a long-time senator in the GA, wanted to live on west campus last year but they put him in Worthington. Should we have impeached him for that? GA can also amend this and change it back in the future.
 - xix. *Clark:* How did you come to that total of on-campus senators?
 - xx. *Gravalis*: We added up the living area senators that we currently have.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- xxi. *Di Scala:* About Article 1, why should every candidate attend the IP sessions?
- xxii. *Gravalis*: We reached out to the JP because they didn't have time to push anything through this year on their own. They really wanted it because we want you to know what you are getting into. You should be going to this to know how to run so you can't say you didn't know the rules.
- xxiii. *Di Scala*: Did you have a concern with the lack of communication and so few dates?
- xxiv. *Gravalis*: We did not, but they also had a video, wand we can request they send that out to potential senators who cannot attend.
- xxv. *Belfiglio*: There are 4 sessions so you should be able to make it to one.
- xxvi. *Gracia*: Since you have to be through the term, how would you go about it if they switched majors? I know that has happened.
- xxvii. *Bodey*: It would be up to the digression of the panel. You can call for their resignation. I can't see you getting mad a senator for switching majors.
- xxviii. *Kaufman:* I am confused about part A of slates in Article 2. It says slates must register by the second week—I misunderstood the wording. Within slates, it is saying they can register and don't need to be full and can still get the funding and the money from completely full slates?
 - xxix. *Gravalis*: Every campaign must have registered before petitioning starts. Say you 15 senators. You can just put them on a Excel sheet and add to it.
 - xxx. *Be*lfiglio: You need to send the JP an email within 24 hours with an update when you add people to the slate.
 - xxxi. *Gravalis*: They can't request your CBR until campaigns start. It's not public until you are on the ballot. Once you get the required number, your slate is finalized.
- xxxii. *Bodey*: That is another incentive for slates to run for seats because you get to spend more money and makes elections more competitive.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- xxxiii. *Bidna*: In Article 1, F, 7, you changed 25% of the vote total from the previous year to 1000 signatures. I did the math: 7,500 voted last year this would decrease the number of signatures need by 800.
- xxxiv. *Gravalis:* We wanted to make this easier and consistent. There have been elections where there are only 3,000 voting.
- xxxv. Bidna: why 1,000?
- xxxvi. *Gravalis*: We felt that was a significant amount and they are usually not in USG and we thought that this was still a significant threshold.
- xxxvii. *Bodey:* It is proportional to the amount needed of senate and presidential candidates and having a percentage isn't clear.
- xxxviii. Moved to discussion.
- xxxix. Belfiglio: Friendly amendment.
 - xl. Glass: Friendly amendment.
 - xli. *Glass*: I would say thank you to the CBRC for the thoughtful and thorough look. I always thought the bylaws were clunky and you streamlined this. I also think this is more representative and democratic; you made it easier for students to get involved and I'm happy with the changes. I am in full support of this.
 - xlii. *Merchant:* I want to bring up what speaker Di Scala said, if the session was not attended, can we add having a video to the bylaws if we are not sure how many meetings are held? Can we change it to accommodate this fact?
 - xliii. *Belfiglio:* The JP can edit the bylaws, so we should wait for that.
 - xliv. Merchant: friendly amendment.
 - xlv. *Kaufman:* I have an issue with the fact that we've cut the budget in half but haven't lowered the max amount per senator. Now it's if you have a quarter of the slate, you still have the same funding. That is not the way to build a slate to get enough votes. It disincentives getting on the slate.
 - xlvi. *Gravalis:* The point of that is that senators do run alone and it would be hard to run on 50 dollars. It's not to build a slate, and you don't get your money's worth anyway, but most people want the max slate because that means more votes and a bigger network.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- xlvii. *Befiglio:* If you wanted to fill a slate you can still have people get the signatures and not try when campaigning comes around.
- xlviii. *Gravalis:* Fifty dollars is too low was our thought.
- xlix. *Bidna:* I'm really okay with encouraging more people to run and having more candidates, but I feel like it should be coupled with the resolution with ranked choice voting. Where is that in this?
 - Gravalis: The JP hasn't decided if they want to take the rank choice voting so we didn't want to put that in there. This goes into effect immediately and we believe they would add this themselves.
 - li. *Belfiglio:* The JP then has a chance to amend them before the election. If we put rank choice voting in the bylaws and they figure out it's unattainable, we have a problem if it is required by the bylaws and the JP can't change it. The JP can amend it to include it later.
 - lii. *Bidna:* To follow up on Senator Kaufman's statement on getting \$100, you are cutting everything in half and you only need 10 people to have enough money to get the full funding and you are saying it's not a big difference. I almost want to propose the amendment to have consistency to cut the 100 to 50.
- liii. *Gravalis:* You can propose that. It would not be taken as friendly. Having a slate is not to get money; you'll have \$1,000 anyway. It just to have people work.
- liv. *Belfiglio:* Your money gives you more spending money on a slate. It costs more to buy less club cards and if you only have 10, it's not changing a lot of what it costs to run. If they only have \$50, it's almost too little to run.
- lv. *Bidna:* Why not strike how much money people get? It doesn't seem important. It seems to be significant. 10 names is easier to get signatures for.
- lvi. *Belfiglio:* The reason we have the slate increase with senators is because they have to fund multiple types of campaigns and it shouldn't be seen as a barrier. \$1,200 to \$2,000 isn't a big



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- difference. It is just to offset the cost of having senators use other materials.
- lvii. *Gravalis:* It's just you and that's why it's different.
- lviii. *Belfiglio:* It is just to facilitate campaigning. We're not trying to create advantages with money.
- lix. *Liu:* I was just going to follow-up; I don't mind cutting it. If we add second clause saying 'however, if he decides to run independently, they get a different amount."
- lx. Shaffer: So this has been mentioned a couple times informally with people I've talked to. It doesn't appear that there is anything that prevents me on my own from backing a campaign as long as the other people don't interact with me. I'm saying you could make a political action committee and nothing can stop it.
- lxi. Motion to cap the speakers list.
- lxii. Speakers list is capped.
- lxiii. *Belfiglio:* There is a limit on infinite funding, its \$2,000. My understanding is that having a PAC could lead to actual law suits and that we don't have the authority to do that.
- lxiv. *Gravalis:* Technically this only applies to people who are running. Say I put \$10,000 into his election, that is my right to free speech. We can't limit people not running for office in USG. I can't stop someone from supporting me. if Mario started talking to me about my PAC it should go into his CBR because he has say over how to spend my money.
- lxv. *Belfiglio:* We can't tell someone not to flow a plane with a banner.
- lxvi. *Glass:* Even if Jenna were to donate that money to Mario, he still has to get elected by the undergrads and that's not a good investment. I feel like that would be rejected by the students.
- lxvii. Question is called.
- *lxviii.* 49-R-39 A Resolution to Request a Better Transparency of the International Fee *PASSED*.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- c. 49-R-41 A Resolution to Amend the Organizational Bylaws
 - i. Entered committee on the whole.
 - ii. Rise and report.
 - iii. Di Scala: Time yielded to CBRC committee.
 - iv. Gravalis: We made a lot more changes here because we wanted them to reflect the constitution. We are getting rid of the VP being chair of the assembly and are instead having a speaker elected from the body. It was taken out of the constitution. It was added to encourage students to take on more leadership roles, and we've divided up the work to lighten the work load. And we've given people the option to run for allocation committee; that is not just for the deputy directors. It is encouraging more people to run for a second or third term and we want people to run for this and take it seriously. I know Gerard and Danielle are happy when they get a good resolution; we know it pushed policy forward and a lot of the things we struck were because of that. We got rid of all triple representation. Directors and emissaries do not have a vote. Some people would be represented three times and directors were not actually elected to the body. Emissaries are interesting because we took this out of running, taken with advice from Vincent and Susant. The GA will have the ability to choose the emissary they want and they can see what the GA is lacking so they can fill it will people who really care. We streamlined stuff and added stuff with shared government on behalf of Sam Whipple. That's the main stuff we took out.
 - v. *Belfiglio*: On the international emissary: Vincent was a member of the CBRC and made good points with this. A lot of the directors would agree that you are so busy and have members from your committee in GA anyway. Zach [Clark] knows what's going on in Academic Affairs, but Samer [Abusway] still has to be here. This is the first change that made the VP the chair. The fact that the executive branch is chairing the legislative branch. Danielle has not done this, but a VP can influence policy. It also discourages people from running for GA again. The route is usually Freshman: Committee Representative, sophomore:



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

General Assembly, third year: director, senior year: campaign and senior staff. These changes are encouraging people to run again to take a bigger role in GA and I am very much in favor.

- vi. *Bodey:* For me, I went into this with a lot of anger with the state of USG because I haven't had a great experience in GA this year, if I'm being blunt, because it's not clear what should be prioritized. Should you focus on constituency, or is it better to be involved in all of internal USG procedures and have to go to 6 meetings with this and still meet with your constituency? The Glenn school has me sitting in 3 different meetings and freeing up time to do those things instead of being an institutional prop that is only going to cabinet to swipe your ID is useless. We don't always have good leaders and this our responsibility to do this. By having a speaker and having people push back. and you are concerned with only 500 votes, it's tyranny. GA has been the laughing stock of USG for years and it doesn't change. People are looked down upon, and this changes that. It is viewed as second years looking to climb the ladder of USG when it should be to make GA a better group of people because we have some shitty senators. If you think it should be stronger, go for it.
- vii. *Belfiglio*: Prior to five years ago, the Chief of Staff office in the USG office used to be the Speaker's office. I'm not suggesting we change this, but it shows how important that role is; they took it very seriously and these changes will help.
- viii. *Gravalis*: Also, if you propose amendments that conflict with the constitution, we can't change it. We are not shutting it down but we can't do that.
 - ix. *Buss*: Was there a reason for why the speaker's role was taken away? I'm looking for background about this and why this was taken away.
 - x. *Befiglio:* It was done because there was too much tension between the branches and that putting the VP in would make it more unified. It ultimately consolidated power in the executive branch. It is good to see them as leaders of an organization



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- instead of a committee, but the issue is that doesn't work well in USG and how we are structured.
- xi. *Bodey:* The script is flipped. We don't decide the policy points; directors come to us to make it look good. There should be a level of what the student body wants. Some of it is not having active senators, and a blatant disregard for coming up with policy. I think this has to change and with the speaker, we can do this. We run to people and we stamp a label on this because there are theoretically 40 people who say yes.
- xii. *Buss*: Since we don't have the constitution in front of us, what is the role of the VP?
- xiii. *Befiglio:* The VP would go back to focusing on shared governance.
- xiv. *Gravalis*: They would be making executive appointments and they would be more involved in overseeing the cabinet. They are not solely in charge of shared governance. There is still a Sam Whipple role, and that is written in, but they would work hand in hand with the president.
- xv. *Befiglio*: They are the figureheads of the university and have demanding jobs. The VP will not be sitting around with nothing to do.
- xvi. *Merchant:* Why are there seven on-campus seats and only five off-campus?
- xvii. *Liu*: There is now the new requirement of students who are required to live on for 2 years, and that doesn't change the number of seats. The school is getting more residence halls and it would be helpful to increase the number of living area senators. There is no obvious change off campus.
- xviii. Di Scala: In terms of the VP having a larger role in shared governance, are there any language changes?
 - xix. Gravalis: No.
 - xx. *Belfiglio*: We gave the VP more power to set up their executive cabinet because they need leeway to make this decision and they can set it up how they want.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- xxi. Gravalis: Say you have an internal affairs person running for VP. Can they take over? We didn't out line specific duties so you can pick the cabinet according to the people.
- xxii. : Can you go into taking voting rights from directors? I know there are liaisons but would putting representatives on the liaisons create a conflict of interest between representing cabinet and positons?
- xxiii. *Bodey*: We wanted to get rid of triple representation. You are essentially giving the executive branch easy votes to advance the agenda. If there is a bad president and VP, there are five easy votes. Not only are they easy yes votes, but they don't represent a constituency. They aren't reporting to anyone.
- xxiv. *Gravalis*: They were never voted into the body and the students don't have a say in who sits there. In years past, there have been directors who did not get along with senators and they could whip votes and that's taking away that. They won't be coming to GA unless relevant.
- xxv. *Belfiglio*: This is not the best use of their time and other senators would be able to say the same thing anyway. It better separates the branches.
- xxvi. *Kaufman*: Did you add a seat for medicine sciences or has that been there?
- xxvii. *Belfiglio*: That happened last GA. I spearheaded that. The colleges split years ago and it didn't make sense.
- xxviii. Moved to discussion.
- xxix. *Glass:* Again, I commend the CBRC. There has been a lot of talk of the role of GA and this does a lot to define the body. I love how we have increased our role as a representative government. Senator Bodey said it well that we have to find a balance between constituencies and our role in GA. I support the idea of eliminating voting from directors. I appreciate your opinions and votes, but they haven't been elected by anyone, they are appointed. To give them such a strong voice in a representative body doesn't make sense. I like that we are eliminating the executive role. I love having a speaker to give incentive. This is a big deal to be elected and the words you say



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

should be taken seriously and should carry heavy weight. It strengthens checks and balances. GA shouldn't serve the internal needs of USG and it should serve the needs of the constituents. We need this to remain true to who we are and to represent constituency interests. It does increase the responsibility of GA and that should be—when we looked at the bylaws and increasing their role, everyone, and as we hopefully pass this, you see your duty as senators. Impacting the role of senators and increasing the role increases the meaning behind this body. I'm thankful for how they have been so thorough in both documents we've seen today and it has my full support.

- xxx. *Gracia*: I want to thank them. I also want to reiterate the point of redoing votes; what's the point of having deputy directors if your director is right there? Sam Reed puts responsibility on me, but that isn't the same for everyone.
- xxxi. *Buss:* I'm fine with the triple representation aspect. We are definitely not puppets of the executive branch. We push our own policy. I want the record to show Gerard and Danielle aren't pushing stuff down our throats. Maybe it wasn't like this in other bodies, but that's what I've done here.
- xxxii. *Belfiglio:* We have changed the name from deputy directors to legislative coordinator to work with them. Some senators don't want to write what a director wants them to do, and what do you do with that.
- xxxiii. Gravalis: We kept that because there should be a branch to create a spark. We wanted some people who are not interested in policy to follow up and do allocations and are more interested and you are still able to run if you want to.
- xxxiv. *Belfiglio*: One of the main issues I've felt with this, and I feel like it has a theme that it gives too few responsibilities to some and too much too all. I think it limits effectiveness because deputy directors are spending hours in allocations this semester.
- xxxv. *Question is called*.
- xxxvi. 49-R-41 A Resolution to Amend the Organizational Bylaws PASSED.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Spring 2017, Session 18 February 1, 2017

VIII. Announcements

- a. *Bodey*: Will an updated attendance list be released?
- b. Di Scala: Yes.
- c. *Glass*: Along similar lines, the resolutions that are called in roll call votes should show the yays, nays, abstentions there.
- d. Di Scala: Yes, I will do that.
- e. Bodey: There is a constituent reprot due a week from today.
- f. *Buss*: The demographics repot had 99 percent participation and only two people did not take it so I'm proud of that.
- g. *Shaffer:* You all decided to have a fun discussion last week and I'm salty about that. Dot-- it is her birthday and tonight she got a nice cake after dinner. She is eight years old.

IX. Adjournment

a. Adjourned.