1	49-R-25		
2	A Desolution to Support the Indiaial Banal's Implementation of Dankad Chains Vating in		
3 4	A Resolution to Support the Judicial Panel's Implementation of Ranked Choice Voting i USG Elections		
5			
6	Joseph Warnimont (for himself, Kurt Shaffer, Sophie Chang, and Noah Bidna) introduced the		
7	following resolution to the Oversight Committee where it passed.		
8			
9	* * *		
10			
11	Whereas the Undergraduate Student Government represents all undergraduate students at The		
12	Ohio State University, and		
13	Whenever the Undergraduate Student Covernment conducts its elections via a one person and		
14 15	<b>Whereas</b> the Undergraduate Student Government conducts its elections via a one-person-one-vote per seat, winner-takes-all system, <sup>1,2</sup> and		
15 16	vote per seat, while-takes-an system, and		
17	<b>Whereas</b> this system is known as first-past-the-post $(FPTP)^3$ or plurality voting, <sup>4</sup> and		
18			
19	Whereas over 45 countries around the world use FPTP, including federal and state elections in		
20	the United States, <sup>5</sup> and		
21			
22	Whereas FPTP, while intuitive, is a flawed voting system that frequently results in		
23	unrepresentative election results and that, in some situations, discourages voters from casting		
24	ballots for their preferred candidate, and		
25 26	Wheness ideally victors in an election will have were greater than 500/ of the victor east		
26 27	<b>Whereas</b> , ideally, victors in an election will have won greater than 50% of the votes cast, indicating that a majority of voters approve of them, and		
28	indicating that a majority of voters approve of them, and		
29	Whereas in single-winner elections with more than two candidates running, victory by a		
30	plurality rather than a majority is not uncommon, and		
31			
32	Whereas over the 50 elections held since USG was founded in 1967, 36 resulted in a winner		
33	who received less than 50% of the vote, <sup>6</sup> and		
34			
35	Whereas of the 14 elections where the winner was voted in with a majority, two victors had		
36	been unopposed on the ballot, <sup>6</sup> and		
37	Wheness in the most systems example the winner of the 1001 USC election only received		
38	<b>Whereas</b> in the most extreme example, the winner of the 1991 USG election only received 24.4% of the vote, <sup>6</sup> and		
39 40			
-10			

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> USG Const. art. II, § A, cl. 2. "The President shall be elected annually by the undergraduate student body for a term of one year."
<sup>2</sup> Election Bylaws of USG. art. III, § C, cl. 2.
<sup>3</sup> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First-past-the-post\_voting
<sup>4</sup> http://www.fairvote.org/glossary
<sup>5</sup> http://www.idea.int/esd/type.cfm?electoralSystem=FPTP
<sup>6</sup> Presidential Election Results: Undergraduate Student Government (1967-present)

Whereas under FPTP, even if a voter supports multiple candidates' views, they may only cast a 41 42 single vote, and 43 44 Whereas an election with several candidates with similar platforms and ideas would split votes among themselves, hurting any individual's chance of winning, and 45 46 Whereas this discourages candidates with similar views from running, limiting voter choice, and 47 48 **Whereas** FPTP forces voters to consider how other voters will vote ("tactical voting"),<sup>4</sup> resulting 49 in votes cast for "the lesser of two evils" rather than votes cast for a voter's true favorite 50 51 candidate, and 52 53 Whereas these flaws are inherent in and inseparable from FPTP as a voting system, and 54 55 Whereas voting systems without these problems exist, most notably Ranked Choice Voting (RCV), also known as preferential voting,<sup>4</sup> and 56 57 Whereas in a single-seat RCV system, known as Instant Runoff Voting (IRV),<sup>4</sup> voters rank their 58 choices of candidates in order of preference, ranking as many or as few candidates as they wish,<sup>7</sup> 59 60 and 61 Whereas after the first round of counting votes, any candidate receiving a majority of votes wins 62 63 the election, and 64 65 Whereas a majority of votes in a single-seat RCV system is defined as 50% of voters plus one, 66 and 67 Whereas if no candidate has received a majority, the last-place candidate is eliminated, and 68 votes cast for them are distributed to those voters' second choice candidates, and 69 70 Whereas this process is repeated until any candidate has won a majority of votes or there is only 71 72 one valid candidate left, and 73 Whereas multi-seat RCV elections, known as Single Transferable Vote (STV),<sup>4</sup> have the 74 modification of requiring a different threshold for victory but overall follow the same process as 75 IRV elections,<sup>7</sup> and 76 77 Whereas student governments at over fifty colleges around the United States use forms of RCV 78 in their elections,<sup>8</sup> including at the University of Minnesota,<sup>9</sup> University of Iowa,<sup>10</sup> and 79 Northwestern University,<sup>11</sup> and 80 81

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> http://www.fairvote.org/rcv#how\_rcv\_works

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> http://www.fairvote.org/rcv\_in\_campus\_elections

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>MSA Const. 3<sup>rd</sup> Amend. "The President and Vice-President shall be elected using instant runoff voting..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> UISG Elections Code. art. VI, § B, cl. 2. "The Presidential Election will be conducted using instant run-off voting."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> ASG Code. App. A, § 9, cl. c, cl. 2. "The Schulze method shall be used for positions with 3 or more candidates."

82 83	Whereas USG already uses runoff elections to elect officers internally, <sup>12</sup> and		
84	Whereas the Inter-Professional Council <sup>13</sup> and the Council of Graduate Students <sup>14</sup> here at Ohio		
85	State use runoff elections to elect their officers, and		
86			
87	Whereas voters in Maine chose to adopt RCV for state elections in November 2016; <sup>15</sup>		
88			
89	Therefore, Let it Be Resolved the Undergraduate Student Government General Assembly		
90	strongly encourages the Judicial Panel to implement Ranked Choice Voting in USG Presidential		
91	and Vice Presidential elections, in a process similar to the language attached to this resolution.		
92			
93 94			
94 95	Oversight Committee Vote: Passed.		
93 96	Oversight Committee vole. Passed.		
97			
98	Floor Vote: Passed.		
99			
100			
101	a /a		
102	Gerard C. Basalla	Pathiette M. BiScala	
103	Lenna C. ierrain	1001 01 (1010-00-01-	
104		Danielle Di Scala	
105	President	Vice President	
106			
107 108			
108	Date Adopted:11/30/16	Date Terminated:	

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Standing Rules of the GA. art. XIV, § C, cl. h, cl. ii. "To be elected, a candidate shall receive a simple majority vote of those members of the General Assembly present."
<sup>13</sup> IPC Elections Bylaws. art. II, §B, §3, cl. d.
<sup>14</sup> CGS Bylaws. art. II, §2, cl. H.
<sup>15</sup> https://www.boston.com/news/politics/2016/11/10/maine-became-the-first-state-in-the-country-to-pass-ranked-

choice-voting

110				
111	Example Bylaws Language for Ranked Choice Voting			
112				
113	For the purposes of this chapter, the following definitions shall apply:			
114				
115	"Ranked Choice Voting" means a method of casting and tabulating votes that simulates the ballot			
116	counts that would occur if all voters participated in a series of runoff elections with one			
117	candidate eliminated after each round of counting. In elections using the Ranked Choice Voting			
118	method, voters may rank the candidates in order of preference.			
119				
120	"Advancing candidate" means a candidate who has not been eliminated.			
121				
122	"Continuing ballot" means a ballot that is not an exhausted ballot.			
123				
124	"Exhausted ballot" means a ballot on which there are no choices marked other than choices for			
125	eliminated candidates.			
126				
127	For the offices of USG President and Vice President, the ballots shall be counted by the method			
128	of ranked choice voting, prescribed herein:			
129 130	1. The initial round of counting shall be a count of the first choices marked on each hallot. If any			
130	1. The initial round of counting shall be a count of the first choices marked on each ballot. If any			
131	candidate receives a majority of the first choices, that candidate shall be declared the winner, pending ratification.			
132	pending fattication.			
134	2. If no candidate receives a majority of first choices, there shall be a second round of counting.			
135	The last-place candidate shall be eliminated, and all the continuing ballots shall be recounted.			
136	Each continuing ballot shall be counted as one vote for that ballot's highest ranked advancing			
137	candidate.			
138				
139	3. If no candidate receives a majority at the second round of counting, there shall be a third round			
140	of counting, continuing in the manner prescribed above.			
141				
142	4. The process of eliminating the last-place candidates and recounting all the continuing ballots			
143	shall continue until one candidate receives a majority of the votes in a round. The candidate who			
144	receives a majority of the votes in a round shall be declared the winner, pending ratification.			
145				
146	5. When a ballot does not list a preference for any given round, it shall not be counted in that			
147	round or any subsequent round.			
148				
149	6. If there are not sufficient second and lower choices for any candidate to receive a majority, the			
150	candidate with the highest number of votes shall be declared the winner, pending ratification.			
151				
152	7. When a ballot becomes an exhausted ballot it shall not be counted in that round or any			
153	subsequent round.			