

3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

Correction: The minor required by the ROTC is 12 credit hours, not 24.

I. Opening

- a. Call to Order
- b. Attendance
 - i. Ouadri.8 for LaPointe
 - ii. Kinkoph.2 for Moore
 - iii. Polavapapu.4 for Spain
 - iv. Karzmarek.28 for Abusway
 - v. Alton.14 for Kennedy
- c. Swearing in of Alternates
- d. Approval of Minutes
 - i. Minutes APPROVED.

II. Open Forum for Public

a. *Derek Whiddon*: The framework town hall is happening tomorrow at 4 in the bank. You can submit question in the google doc on the USG page or come to the town hall and get your questions answered in person.

III. Updates

a. Danielle Di Scala: Thank you for those who submitted your constituency reports. I posted them to the website and there will be link with your name. The second deadline is October 26. If you want to copy your template, you can type a new report and I'll make a new folder. We will not be meeting next week due to fall break. Also, thanks to those of you who submitted applications for the CBRC. I'm meeting with the Chief Justice Taylor Marsillio next week to discuss the applications. Also, if you want to find organizations that relate to your constituents, you can email Fries.1 and she can help you out with that.

IV. Executive Report

a. Gerard Basalla: No report this week.

V. Committee Report

a. Allocations—Derek Whiddon



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

- i. Allocations passed the budget for Quarter 3 and funded:
 - 1. Chi Omega for \$800
 - 2. Global Health Initiative for \$310
 - 3. Muslim Student Association for \$600
 - 4. J2K for \$960
 - 5. Net Impact for \$599
 - 6. Indian Student Association for \$1,500
- ii. Whiddon: We are looking for an alternate for Sunday. We meet at 4:00 in the Presidents Room. Email me at whiddon.1 in you are interested.
- b. Oversight—Mario Belfiglio:
 - i. Oversight met Sunday and considered 2 resolutions. We anticipate meeting the Sunday after we get back from Fall Break to approve the CBRC appointments. I want to reiterate the differences of call to question and capping the speakers list. Call to question immediately ends discussion and we vote on the resolution. Capping the speakers list prevents new people from joining the list once we've added everyone that still wants to talk.
 - ii. *Di Scala*: If you have questions about the procedure, go ahead and ask; it's totally okay to ask questions.

VI. Old Business

a. No Old Business.

VII. New Business

- a. 49-R-16 A Resolution to Amend the Organizational Bylaws of the Undergraduate Student Government to Add a Military and Veteran Student Emissary Seat
 - i. *Clark:* This resolution began towards the end of the last GA. There was an initiative to add this seat but the 49th General Assembly was sworn in before it was passed. Being an Arts and Humanities senator and having constituents with military history and military science minors, this resolution was passed to me to introduce. This would create a new seat for veteran and military students. This includes all students who are active



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

duty, in ROTC, national guard, marines, etc. I feel like this resolution is very pressing; members of the US armed forces have a lot of needs that regular students don't understand necessarily. There are over 1,500 undergraduates who are active duty and reserves. Currently Iowa, Rutgers, and Michigan State have seats like this. Penn state is looking to add one as well. I yield my time to my cosponsors.

- ii. *Belfiglio*: I was asked to sponsor this because it changes the bylaws. We compare this to the international student emissary in this body. They have unique financial needs. There is a separate application process and they go through different things here. ROTC also has a separate application and they have requirements for courses that count for credit. They also have different financial needs with ROTC. There are also commitments they have, service contracts, and they can be called up at any time. There is an office dedicated to military and veteran services. USG doesn't have a good grip on that because none of us are involved.
- iii. *Ford*: They have unique needs and experiences that they go through and that's why I sponsored this.
- iv. *Belfiglio*: Ret. Col. Mike Carrol [Veterans Resource Analyst in the Office of Undergraduate Education] supports this resolution and they are excited to see what becomes of the seat. He could not be here tonight because of unforeseen circumstances but if you have any questions you can email him at .8 or in his visit him in his office.
- v. *Buss*: The experience of international Students is very different and that's why I support the International Emissary seat. Do you believe the difference in experience of military and veteran students is comparable to international students?
- vi. *Clark*: Yes, I do; we don't have to deal with visas like international students or getting separate insurance. We don't have to deal with physical training with classes, and scholarships like military and veteran students.
- vii. *Buss*: Are there problems in this area? Do they have trouble getting to class, etc.? What are the issues?



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- viii. Belfiglio: I would recommend that everyone go to the website and see all the requirements that they have. You are applying to college and have a 7 to 8-year contract with the U.S. military. You are dealing with benefits. Their schedules are different than ours and they have a required 24 credit hour minor. There's a lot of different pieces. There are three ROTC programs and national guard, wich is one way to get help with tuition. There is Army, Navy, Coast Guard, Marines, and there's also being a veteran and being a student here. Anyone here has to go through that office to get the help with that. It doesn't sound tricky but it is.
 - ix. Glass: Will the emissary position take effect immediately?
 - x. Clark: It would be a vacancy.
 - xi. Belfiglio: This is standard with emissary seats.
- xii. *Polavapapu*: This is my third year at OSU. I've had an International Emissary seat and I haven't seen anything that helped me. How will this help student in the future? What projects will they tackle first?
- xiii. *Clark*: On campus housing is an issue. Some of my constituents have had some bad experiences with housing. That's one thing that needs to be addressed. None of us can speak for them but they don't have a voice now. I don't know what it's like to balance everything. We need their expertise.
- xiv. *Branum*: Are there any other groups that have separate applications?
- xv. *Belfiglio*: These groups are the only groups with separate applications, and transfer students.
- xvi. *Warnimont*: I believe Iowa's seats are appointed by others. Do you think that using the International Emissary student is better than appointments?
- xvii. *Clark:* Yes, I feel like it gives them more of a say in appointing them.
- xviii. *Chime:* Both of you have mentioned getting up early and training, but what can a seat in GA do for them? Are you saying that because they're busy, they deserve a seat?



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- xix. *Clark*: That has been cited, but it's more than that. We don't have to apply for insurance or take a minor just because of ROTC. They have very different financial and academic needs.
- xx. *Belfiglio:* There are three different departments here that are represented. There is a distinct academic program. They have fundamentally different course work.
- xxi. Shaffer: I'm here on GI bill; my dad was Air Force. I'm registered under military and veteran services. Does resolution this still include me? My life is the same as everyone else who is not in the program, I just don't have to pay. How would you track who would or wouldn't get represented? This would include a lot of people whose parents have or don't have to pay.
- xxii. *Clark*: This would include ROTC, active duty, or veteran students.
- xxiii. *Shaffer*: Most people who come here will have 4 years of enlisted service. People who come here at 24 have a different life that those who come here for ROTC. How will that be represented?
- xxiv. *Clark*: They've all had the shared experience of being involved with and being around the armed forces. They've all had tribulations. This is more about experience, not age.
- xxv. *Chang*: You said that Iowa has students on their body that have people for this. They have specific constituencies. They have other minority groups besides just veterans. I'm just saying that the structures of our governments are different. What would prevent us from also creating these seats? What is the need for the seat seeing as the two governments aren't comparable in structure?
- xxvi. *Clark*: There is an office here that is dedicated to helping these students. If they would like to add these extra seats, I feel like we need to set a precedence in helping that particular group of people with separate applications. Nothing is prevented others from creating others seats for that. Emissary seats are something that should be looked at under the CBRC.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

- xxvii. *Chang:* There's a reason that all the senators are here. I'd implore all of you to see that we all represent these students.
- xxviii. *Belfiglio*: I don't think we should create a slippery slope argument. If others want these seats, we should have merit based on these seats. We created the International Emissary seat and I feel like this is a separate discussion.
 - xxix. *Nitta*: This resolution is saying there'd be one seat created for veterans?
 - xxx. *Clark*: This would be one seat for ROTC, veterans, or active duty students.
 - xxxi. *Nitta*: In legislative bodies they have odd numbers. Wouldn't adding one more seat create an even number so ties would have to be broken?
- xxxii. *Belfiglio*: We currently have 42 and the speaker breaks the tie.
- xxxiii. *Fechtel*: How many active duty undergraduate students are there compared to undergraduate veteran students?
- xxxiv. Clark: There are 1,500 active duty military, veterans are 1,800.
- xxxv. *Bodey*: Who motivated the introduction of this resolution?
- xxxvi. *Clark*: I have constituents who were military history minors who are interested in seeing this. I know last year there were also people interested in this.
- xxxvii. Bodey: Who lead this last year?
- xxxviii. *Clark:* I'm not sure.

xxxix. Motion to cap speakers list.

- xl. Speakers list capped.
- xli. *Sullivan*: I wanted clarification of the academic component for this. Is it just the minor?
- xlii. *Clark*: Yes, they have a mandatory minor.
- xliii. *Chime*: Have you been in contact with multiple people who would want to fill this position?
- xliv. Clark: Yes. I have.
- xlv. *Warnimont*: My computer is updating right now so I don't have numbers, but it's not strange for other governments to have seats not just for area and college, and Ohio State is like that because we have the International Emissary seat. Several student governments have black representatives, Greek



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

representatives, Latino, representatives, etc. Governments are more alike than different. Seats like this are triple representation and we ca address that later. I am in support of this because other governments have seats like this.

- xlvi. Buss: Last year we had the ABTS conference at Rutgers. We talked with other student governments about this issue. I talked to Michigan State's current president last year. He said this is a slippery slope and it has turned into token seats. He said African American students were expected to speak for all and he said he wish this didn't happen. I talked to Michigan State's current president last year. He said they have seats based on various identities. They often have trouble filling those seats, and when they do, it has been speculated that people have seen these seats as representing the whole population. I believe this is a slippery slope that can lead to tokenization of minorities*. There was no formal resolution but groups have reached out [to USG] for LGBT seats and they were told no because of the slippery slope argument. I think international students face a great amount of obstacles and I don't think military and veteran's issues need to be addressed in this body, and I don't think veteran's and military issues are similar enough to international students that they need a seat on this body as an *emissary**, so I will be voting no on this resolution.
- xlvii. *Belfiglio*: Perhaps we could introduce an amendment to clarify who would be represented because I agree with Senator Shaffer that students in his circumstance benefit from this. No one had an issue with this because both offices serve these students. The argument about how this isn't necessary and how this is triple representation, we could say that all about international students. But I believe that this is a good thing for the body. They are not marginalized, but they have specific needs in terms of applications, academics, etc. and I believe this makes them necessary.
- xlviii. *Bodey*: I want to underscore what Senator Buss was talking about with tokenism. We are already on a slippery slope. International students are a marginalized group, but there are



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

a lot of marginalized group. I believe that better outreach would solve this problem. USG pushes its members to attend events for marginalized groups, but not for other groups like commuters; we like to pick and choose instead of doing a better job of outreach. I disagree with the resolution mostly because ROTC and veterans are two different things. One of my best friends is a veteran and nontraditional student. He talks about how his experiences are different from ROTC, and I believe that vets and non-traditional students aren't represented at all. I want to reconsider this for veterans and not ROTC. At this pint this opens another can of worms. Veterans should get a different seat. I don't get it. I think CBRC needs to consider this and they have the power to change all seats. We can talk about it then and hope the students who applied are consistent and want a certain drive. Think about that when opening this can of worms. I don't want to be the person ho doesn't support veterans so I'll vote for this, but another name on the website is not enough. I would encourage those who try to solve student problems not to serve another seat. I would also like to remind everyone that they serve students right now and just right now.

- xlix. *Chang*: This would change our makeup now and I encourage all to see Iowa's government. I don't think this is the way to do it. I've also talked to non-traditional students and they have different experiences. Voting no does not mean not supporting vets. I don't think this is a good way to change the structure.
 - l. LaPointe: I sat on the new sexual health committee. The information about sexual assault brought a different perspective that I wouldn't have thought. Working in the cadet program and that process is a lot different than what I've heard. Given the information she convened this is so different and just today I learned how different this is. If we are going to admit that there are minorities, and [we'll have to] decide who is credible enough to have a seat. Those of us here who don't feel marginalized, I think this is a tough conversation to have.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- li. *Kim*: Clark said that constituents came to him. He said that students came to him. I talked to a lot of students in ROTC and they said it is different. They do have a lot of physical training to do and have an extra minor, but many students have minors. I think this resolution should be changed to vets but as of now I will be declining.
- lii. Glass: There's been a lot of discussion that the vote you cast here represents individuals. Just because I'm the senator of Food, Agricultural, & Environmental Sciences, doesn't mean all my constituents agree with the decisions I make. I was just elected to represent them. The argument that if we were to add an emissary that they would have to speak for all the group, that is not specific to the individual. Those who are in a place who are not marginalized can't say who is or isn't veterans. I'm not a veteran and I can't speak on behalf of those who have been to war and who have served out country. I'm going to also address the fact that ROTC is involved with students but it is not the same as a normal student organization. This is a branch of the U.S. Armed Services. Those who complete the program will enter the military as officers. I reject that statement that they are just outside running around. This is something we should all be thankful for. How we chose to represent students is very important. We had a Commuting Student Emissary who now is a full senator position with voting rights. They have a different perspective and represent a different group of students. I will say I am in favor of this. I regret that I could not have been a co-sponsor. I approve of any way we can expand representation. I look forward to continuing this conversation and how we will review the laws in the CBRC.
- liii. Shaffer: Everything that Senator Bodey and Director Buss said is essentially that I had to say. It's good to know that tokenism is a problem at other schools. Veterans and ROTC are already represented twice. When we have mental health bills and veterans do not have a say, why did we not speak out? I think it would still be wrong to over represent a group of students.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- liv. *Gracia*; I agree with Bodey with a veteran and ROTC lens. I also want to agree with Senator Glass, it's not just working out. About what Director Buss said about the slippery slope argument, international students cannot speak for all, but it's a start. Knowing that other schools have gone down this slippery slope, I think we will be smart enough to know when to stop. Also, Senator Shaffer said that we don't have their voice to bring this stuff up. It's not the best but it's a start. I don't think there are negatives to this.
- lv. *Frank*: In response to Senator Kim, we need to stop looking at the physicality from regular students, it's not just a minor and getting up. That doesn't not give me the right to say that they do not have a right. They are a student who is putting their life at risk and I would love it if we stop mentioning this. All of you who are one seat representatives, look at yourselves. All of those are represented by one person; should we discount their vote? Also we are not changing the structure, we have an emissary now. Last year we saw there was a problem, so why shouldn't we include them? We're smarter and we don't have to follow everyone else. Ohio State is a leader and we should continue to do this. To Senator Shaffer, just because we haven't heard of it doesn't mean it's not happening. They have a male and female representative, but I have been working to improve that. Those councilors are veteran status and it's being done. Those who have problem with triple representation, write a resolution to change that. I apologize for my ranting and yelling, but I believe this is a highly important resolution. Right now, military and veteran students are important.
- lvi. *Kinkoph*: As a first year looking at this with fresh eyes, I haven't heard of other seats. The dichotomy between seats and representative and ROTC and emissary seat. They have a unique way to improve the viewpoint and I don't think they need to be improved. There should be a hybrid. Everyone in this room is smarter than to start adding a seat to everything. We need a mechanism to see when to add a seat. International students have different needs and we can't put themselves in



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

their shoes. There are certain cultural barriers that prevent us from putting people in their shoes. It's time for us to make a decision to be better than other governments to have a hybrid and we can do a better job.

- lvii. *Polavapapu:* The application for international students is almost identical to domestic students. The argument that we as a body cannot represent other students because we don't understand them, that's a weak-ass excuse. If you say that you can't understand others, then that's another problem. If you want to sit on this chamber you have to go out and do it. All different groups have unique sets of challenges. Everyone needs to do a better job and make their lives better at Ohio State. How has the International Emissary seat improved international students? How has it actually done anything positive. I encourage Senator Frank's statement to eliminate triple representatives.
- lviii. Bodey: I think that everyone's points are valid so I'm going to offer a solution. This is CBRC year and we are changing who has a seat who comes in and how. We have 3 options, we leave the resolution and fail it. We look like we don't support military students. I don't think that's the answer. I support having an emissary in the chamber. I think that would be beneficial and have CBRC and be retroactive. We can see if this is turning into tokenism. We can say that about everything. Right now, we need to have a certain person to represent that body. We go to the CBRC and see if we want to keep it. I say we pass and see if its beneficial in the spring. The whole way our body is structured, this will be different after the CBRC and there will be dramatic changes. Think about it in terms of trying it out and getting rid of it later. I support the resolution.
 - lix. *Warnimont*: I appreciate the idea of seeing if this is good idea and we can deal with it later. Deciding to change it later will give CBRC opportunity to change it.
 - lx. *Belfiglio*: It won't take effect until two General Assembly sessions after. I like this to try things out now. There are 1,800 students now. Four hundred are in the ROTC program. The



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

minor is through the College of Arts and Sciences, so people in this minor aren't represented at all. There are 1,800 vets and there are many colleges who are smaller who have seats. I couldn't agree with Senator Glass more and we aren't aware of their experiences. My grandparents fought at my age and I would have just gotten done with a tour of duty and I can't imagine that. None of us can say we know what that's like and how the transition is. I apologize that someone from Veteran Services isn't here today. If you don't like triple representation, you can just get rid of their voting rights. It would take 2/3 votes to do so. We still will have a veteran student emissary. Arguments can be made against all and I believe that helped us. If we create a position that can reach out and it makes it easier. This is not a racial ethnic seat. We are not adding seats all the time and this should be a way to represent them. Do CBRC in the Spring. Also, there are seven colleges now that are only represented by one person.

- lxi. *Buss:* My comments earlier do not mean that I don't support the military and the argument did not convince me. I think the CBRC should do this. Everyone with a different cultural identity has issues. If in 2 or 3 weeks we have resolution for LBGT emissary, we need to add it. This is a slippery slope. It's not the same, but people are going to think this is the way it is. The body now is not structured how it should be but the CBRC should deal with it.
- lxii. Glass: This is valuable just for the discussion and the points are valid. Shaffer mentioned that we have had number of mental health initiatives and we have not properly represented these students because there is no voice. My job isn't to reach out just to vets. I'll argue that we should be expanding and we are missing these voices in the room. Emissaries do have an effect and I think Senator Liu has done a good job because he is specifically representing these students. I did that, too. I changed the culture in this room because it used to say 'on campus' and now we see that there is more to this university than just campus. They do work and other senator positions do



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

the same. It depends on how you do your duty to represent students. We should wait for the CBRC. If there is a group of students that aren't represented than it's irresponsible to say we should wait two years. This should be passed and if we find this is wrong, we can address it in the CBRC and fix the problem of underrepresentation.

- lxiii. Motion for 3-minute recess.
- lxiv. Motion approved.
- lxv. Liu: So, speaking of representing communities, I do admit that the former international emissaries did not do a great job at representing their communities. I am currently working on legislation that will help change our structure. Then both business continuing education and engineering total have a 10% international student portion, which I do not see the senators properly representing. I am personally in favor of this resolution. Both international and veteran students have obstacles that we must overcome. Few of us in the chamber understand and empathize with these issues. Ethical and racial should think back to ourselves and see if we can represent some other groups. I am able to represent multiple groups, like Chinese, being myself. We should not just represent those only in our title, we should represent personal issues as well. We need this seat in this General Assembly and let's work on this in the future.
- lxvi. Clark: Friendly amendment. Motion to cap the speakers list. PASSED.
- lxvii. *Gracia:* I want to thank Senator Liu for speaking on the subject, it's nice to get an actual emissary's input.
- lxviii. *Karzmarek*: There was nothing on military students in the demo report last year so please work on that.
 - lxix. *Belfiglio*: Thank you for everyone's compliments. I would like to say that if we look at people like Liu and Glass, we had excellent representatives for regional and international students. When you put the right student in the position, we have the ability to reach out to students USG never reached out



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- to before. We can give this a try, and the CBRC can change it later on.
- lxx. *Buss:* I don't, I disagree with Senator Belfiglio on the point of the definition. Two years ago, we denied the creation of an LGBT seat, but we've added seats in the chamber since then. I want to echo Director Chang, when we vote against this, we do not say we don't support veterans. It has nothing to do with veterans and their qualifications. If you disagree with this based on precedent, do so.
- lxxi. *Kauffman*: I saw this and immediately thought yes. But I'd like to reiterate what Director Buss and Chang have said about the precedent of this resolution. This leads us to a slippery slope. Until we have a clear-cut vision of what emissaries are, we shouldn't pass this.
- lxxii. *Chang*: If you write a resolution to create a seat, we should not instantly accept. The CBRC should have the right to make Bylaw changes. I will be voting no on this resolution
- lxxiii. *Gokbag*: I am an alternate and international student. I am proud to be here. I don't think we can feel good about preventing the veteran's students from having a voice in this chamber. It feels a bit ridiculous. I will say yes to this resolution, even though others are skeptical about the potential slippery-slope argument that this resolution can create. We should see how many students are a peace here.
- lxxiv. Frank: In response to what Senator Buss and Chang have been saying, if we are to wait they will not have a voice for 1 and a half years. I will be gone. If we waited for the CBRC, Senator Kim would not have seat because we had to split their seats. We do this all the time. The structure is made so we can be a more representative body. We see a problem, a lack of a voice, and we need to do something about it. It's ridiculous to think that we should wait that long. The vet students have significant differences than regular students and different applications, different tuition rates, and I believe this is an accurate requirement. All of these seats can be talked about rationally



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

together. I encourage you all to vote yes to give a voice to all who need it.

lxxv. Motion to call the question.

lxxvi. Question has been called.

lxxvii. 49-R-16 A Resolution to Amend the Organizational Bylaws of the Undergraduate Student Government to Add a Military and Veteran Student Emissary Seat Failed 20-21-2.

- b. 49-R-17 A Resolution to Support the Creation of a Temporary Campus Core Bus Route
 - i. *Sullivan:* I think this will be less controversial and I know that everyone is tired, but I ask that all people put the same amount of attention into this. The university has plans for this core bus route but this is contingent that there be new streets. The university has recognized that students want this. It's pretty self-explanatory. I lived in Morrill last year and I know that this isn't sufficient. If you want to get back home, you have to go to Med campus or Ag campus and that adds 15 more minutes. Students on west campus and all can benefit from this.
 - ii. *Merchant:* This is necessary and everything else was said.
 - iii. *Shaffer:* I really like busses. I will spare you the 45-minute-long rant. This is really well-written legislation and I had this problem when I lived in Lincoln, but this affects everyone on campus and it's a great resolution.
 - iv. *Clark:* I was just complaining to someone on a cabs bus two days ago about this, so this should be passed.
 - v. *Buss:* Why is this route temporary?
 - vi. *Sullivan:* There is an attachment that shows the plan we would want. It is temporary because they have plans to do this in the future. This is a pressing enough issue that it should happen now because of how long construction takes.
 - vii. *Liu:* How is this different from the current bus line?
 - viii. *Sullivan*: This wouldn't get rid of lines, it would be a new line. It would just be a centralized route. In terms of specific stops, USG would love to work with the administration to plan those and hopefully this will state the conversation.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

- ix. Warnimont: How are routes planned?
- x. *Shaffer:* There used to be a CABS advisory board, but it's not a thing in which student government has a direct relation.
- xi. *Glass:* I believe that a lot of CABS routes are not closed doors conversations; it would be through University Senate committees. It talks about expanding the university two decades from now. I think there is another committee that oversees cabs busses.
- xii. Shaffer: I don't think there is a specific committee now.
- xiii. *Glass:* Would this expand the system now, like adding new drivers and busses? I would be fearful of a resolution that would take buses from another route.
- xiv. *Merchant*: This isn't taking busses away, but this route would be more efficient. Many students want to get to central campus; it would just be taking more students from other routes. We wanted to leave it up to TTM to find the best solution.
- xv. *Sullivan:* This was purposely written ambiguously because as students we can't say that they should be spending more money. In future conversation we can figure this out with administration.
- xvi. *Colvin:* We spent a lot of time trying to get night routes last year in Health and Safety. Will you look into that because CABS was against that?
- xvii. *Sullivan:* There is nothing specific but if a conversation is started that would be a great addition.
- xviii. *Shaffer*: I've talked to people in CABS for night routes and the reason we don't have them is because they don't have the funding. They would have to supplement those drivers with paid drivers and would cause more maintenance problems just because it would cost too much.
 - xix. *Bidna:* Would you be getting new busses?
 - xx. *Sullivan*: It's up to interpretation but not at this point.
 - xxi. *Shaffer*: Busses are really expensive and I would not feel comfortable adding that into the resolution.
- xxii. Motion to move to discussion.



3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

xxiii. Moved to discussion

- xxiv. *Belfiglio*: This is a great resolution. I encourage everyone not to get bogged down in details and we would encourage students to talk to CABS. I'm a big fan of this and the eventual plan is to have a circulator and a west campus bus. In my constituency there is a BioSci tower and getting there is impossible. It's like a 5to 6-minute walk from the bus route. The bus wouldn't be faster than walking. It's good for USG to get back to looking at busses.
- xxv. *Karzmarek*: There are distinct roles for these busses. And these busses are very important.
- xxvi. *Buss*: Form a diversity and inclusion perspective this would be good for people who use wheelchairs and those in the academic core would have another option.
- xxvii. Motion to call the question.
- xxviii. Called to question.
 - **xxix.** 49-R-17 A Resolution to Support the Creation of a Temporary Campus Core Bus Route **PASSED unanimously**.

VIII. Announcements

- a. *Di Scala*: Thank you guys. I know this was a long meeting but thanks for being respectful. I'm grateful and your constituents are grateful. Just a reminder that there is no GA next week.
- b. *Shaffer*: Please remember that we're all in this together and we're all undergrads, please be respectful. Don't say attack oriented words. We want for things to be better for all. Also, my dog came down for 4 hours and it made my weekend better than yours.
- c. *Chang:* Volunteer or stop by Recess on Thursday. They have trail mix, kickboards, and it's meant to destress.
- d. *Merchant:* Buckeye Road Trip is open. The spots are filling up fast.
- e. Basalla: There is a Framework 2.0 Town Hall and I'm sure your constituents want us to be there. There are referring to this town hall and we have votes on what happens here. Send a question to the doc if you can't make it, but please submit a question.
- f. *Shaffer*: They will be talking about bussing and it would be a great opportunity to talk about bussing.



Lauren Fechtel Secretary of the General Assembly 3150 Ohio Union 1739 N. High Street

1739 N. High Street 614.736.2390 fechtel.1@osu.edu

49th **General Assembly** Autumn 2016, Session 8 October 5, 2016

IX. Adjournment

- a. Meeting adjourned.
- *: Requested change to the minutes