SECRETARY’S REPORT NO. 12, NOVEMBER 10, 2010.

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN AT THE MEETING OF THE USG SENATE.

The Student Senate met in Regular Session on November 10, 2010 at 6:35 PM in the Senate Chamber. The Speaker Andrew Mikac Presided.

Present: 34  
Absent: 3  
Alternates: 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senator</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad</td>
<td>Holmes</td>
<td>Perkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antani</td>
<td>Homan: alternate</td>
<td>Poole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brahmi</td>
<td>Hosa</td>
<td>Reinhard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruner</td>
<td>Howard: alternate</td>
<td>Reu: absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Ryan: alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeDonato</td>
<td>Ingram</td>
<td>Schaffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeFranco</td>
<td>Kedia</td>
<td>Schmitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugginenni</td>
<td>Liles</td>
<td>Shoub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farinacci</td>
<td>Mbagwu: absent</td>
<td>Smidt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferguson</td>
<td>McFarland</td>
<td>Stanley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzpatrick</td>
<td>Messenger</td>
<td>Tichenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flis</td>
<td>Mikac</td>
<td>Tomack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gildenmeister</td>
<td>O’Connell</td>
<td>Wurster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gushing</td>
<td>Pandey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Senators and Senate Alternates were sworn in.

Approval of the Previous Secretary’s Minutes

Moved and Seconded

The Minutes were passed with unanimous consent

Open Forum for the Public

Kamrass: We asked Ashley to come and give a director update for this week. She does a lot of work with the interns.

Sinram: I am the director of the Intern Program you guys all pretty much know a little bit of history of the program so I wanted to give you all some stats. We had 103 applications we then took that number down to 64 through a scoring process. There was representation from senate, cabinet, and some directors. From those 64 we selected 30, this is a full class. We have never taken more than 30, we felt we had such strong applicants from this year that we needed to take the full 30. There are three interns here with us tonight actually. Out of these 30 there are multiple different majors, two international, a couple
sophomores, and one commuter. The Program started a little later to allow more of them to become ACM’s. They have been given a little USG 101 on all of the different branches and their jobs. We also have done some leadership development and we’ve also done some case studies on some hot topics in USG right now. So just last week they were presented on Ohio Dining and outside catering and had them talk about what they would like to see happen and what might be effective for students and a lot of their feedback has gone back to the Ohio Union Council. Some new things we are doing; we broke them down into 3 groups of ten. We did this because there are three directors and we felt it would give us some great one on one time and give them an opportunity to ask their questions and get to know us. When you get your Winter Quarter Budget there will be money asked for a secret Intern Project. Are there any questions?

McFarland: How well is your relationship with them so far?

Sinram: They have impressed me and it was a difficult switch to go from the 64 students to the 30. They have been a really cool bunch of students and they are eager of getting to know a lot of people in USG and we are working on getting them hooked up with the right people to work on similar projects they have interests in.

Mikac: O.k. are there any other questions?

**Exec Report**

Kamrass: I would just like to say that Ashley has done a really good job with that if you have any questions feel free to talk to her about that. Couple of things, not a heavy week we did send out our first email to the entire student body with the renters guide. This is just one-way USG is looking to save students money. It’s the best USG has ever put out and so far we’ve had a great response from the students. I told Matt C. today everyone has been positive and we are off to a good start. We’ve had some great student outreach programs Jasmine, Sydney, and Brett did a great job with USG at the Hale, and Brad and I had a nice walk through the residence halls with James DeFrance. I think we are doing a great job reaching out. Press Secretary applications were due yesterday we had four (4) of them interviews are this week and Lindsey, Laura, and Mikac are in charge of those. We will/should have a name ready this time next week and one in place by the end of the quarter. The Buckeye Advocate we had 23 applications and 6 spots. Niraj and Jake Bruner will be looking over those. We are also working on the Judicial Panel applications at this time as well. We are going to be sending e-mails to all of the elected and re-elected officials congratulating them and urging them to continue to value their relationship with Ohio State. For those of you who don’t come to Cabinet next week are a great time to come we are having members of the city council come. The Pelican the recycling machine is making great progress. Brett Mayo has taken it on as one of his major project priorities. This would be a great signature project for us. Project Wellness meeting went very well they are totally on board and helping with communication safety annual survey. There were 2,000 window alarms passed out it was a very popular item and we are al excited about that. We are also excited about the Smart Phone app it has sort of gotten its initial approval and we are moving on that one also. The outreach category filming its first weekly video so if you are in the office from 1:30-5 look good cause you might find yourself in one of those videos. Two sets of applications are now out for Rock The Block Coordinators and they are due this weekend. Also the applications for the Judicial Panel please tell your friends and spread the word on that. As far as the winter budget we will have that ready for next Wednesdays New Business. Are there any questions? Micah.kamrass@gmail.com
Antani: If we are interested in the content of the letters that are being sent out or helping with that who do we talk to?

Kamrass: I would go and talk to Erica.

Mikac: Any other questions? Seeing none. O.k. we are now moving into Committee / Constituency Reports.

DIRECTOR VISIT

- Ashley Sinram, Intern Director

PAST

- *Micah and Brad Email*
  Micah and Brad sent a “saving students money” email – it included information on the Student Savers Plan and the Renters Guide!

- *USG at the Hale*
  Although it wasn’t an Exec project, snaps are in order to Brett McFarland and Sydney Schmidt for their event, USG at the Hale. It was a good constituency event that facilitated effective discussion on USG! Thanks, Brett and Sydney!!

PRESENT

- *Press Secretary*
  The IOSC received 4 great applicants for Press Secretary. The name of the chosen Press Secretary will appear in New Business next week and be interviewed by P&G before the end of the quarter.

- *Buckeye Advocates*
  USG received 23 applications! 65% of the applicants were outside of USG! We are looking for approximately 6 qualified candidates to work with Judicial Affairs. A team led by Brett, with Niraj Antani, Jake Bruner, and Christina Sladoje, will narrow the applicant pool and interview candidates.

- *Government Advocacy*
  We are making a big effort to increase government advocacy. GR is currently sending letters to all state senators and representatives, and we are sending a letter to Governor-elect Kasich. The Legislative Dinner and DC trip are also in the works.

- *The Pelican – We are making progress!*

- *Financial Wellness*
Our meeting this week went very well, and they want to work with us improving their communications with parents and students.

- **Safety**
  Safety is working with Chief Denton on the Annual Safety Survey. We also just purchased $2,000 more window alarms. The alarms are easy ways to make your home or apartment more theft-proof.

- **Smart Phone App Receives Initial Approval**

- **Filming First Video Update this Week**

- **APPLICATIONS – USG JP and Rock the Block Chairs**
  APPLICATIONS OUT – You (Senator) can be a Rock the Block Co-Chair! PLEASE pass these applications on to your friends and classmates, as deadlines are coming up!

**FUTURE**

- **Winter Budget**
  Micah began working on the Winter budget! You will all see that in New Business next week! Pending approval from B&F, it will be in Old Business the last week of the quarter.

- **Academic Enrichment Grants**
  Laura and Brett are leading a team of Executive and Senate members for Academic Enrichment Grants this year. We received approval from the Office of Academic Affairs to award $25,000 to individual students for academic opportunities (like research and study abroad trips). We will give $12,500 in both Winter and Spring quarters, and all students will be encouraged to apply.

- **Canned Food Drive**
  USG will partner with OUAB to get canned goods for needy families for Thanksgiving. Please donate your canned food to the USG office in the month of November!

- **University of Cincinnati / Ohio State Retreat**
  Save the Date! January 22, 2011

**FUN STUFF**

- **Happy Birthday!**
Kevin Smidt – November 14

- Congratulations to Andrew Mikac for being accepted into Teach for America!

**Committee / Constituency Report**

McFarland: As Micah said earlier yesterday was USG at the Hale it was a good event turnout wasn’t great but quality better then quantity. There were multiple students that were interested in joining USG next year and getting involved. Update on B&F our bylaws are still under suspension and a couple of things we are looking into fixing is the limit on the amount one organization can receive per year and we also talked with Jason about putting on the website a couple of guidelines and tips that we like to see on bills as well as new ideas we would like to see organizations do. We don’t want to turn them away every year but instead we want to see them build some sustainability and build a fund so they can possibly support their annual event by themselves. This Sunday we will continue the talks on the bylaws if anyone wants to come in and have some input your more then welcome to join Sunday at 3. 

Mcfarland.338@buckeyemail.osu.edu

Mikac: are there any other reports?

Fitzpatrick: The Meal Plan committee met last Friday we began discussion on what the meal plan would look like under semesters and we looked at other schools and what kind of plan they used. So basically we’ve been asked to come back to the organizations we represent and get their input on what they would like to see and if they would like one specific plan or maybe a combination of a couple. We will have a chance to pilot one if you have something you would like to see us do please let me or Bryan know. Fitzpatrick.135@buckeyemail.osu.edu

Mikac: O.k. Are there any other reports? Seeing none. We are now into project updates.

**Project Updates**

McFarland: Come out tomorrow I’m going to be grilling. Come out tomorrow its going to be a good event

Mikac: Any other updates?

**Old Business**

43-B-12 (O’Connell) A Bill to Support Tau Beta Pi’s Beat Michigan 5K

O’Connell: The president of Tau Beta Pi is here to speak so I yield my time to him.

Sam: This will be the 9th year that we have held this event and the 1st time that we have asked for USG funding. Main goal is to help alleviate the cost so that the actual proceeds can go to our benefactor, which is a local food bank. We provide the largest contribution so every year we try to maximize this. It means a lot and helps the immediate community. Just a nice little point too you will get your names on the shirt for some advertising and supporting us. I encourage you all to participate in
this it is November 21st and pre registering costs 20 dollars it’s the Sunday morning after the Iowa Game.
Mikac: are there any questions for Sam?
Duggenneni: You said this was the first time you asked for USG funding what was your funding the previous years?
Sam: A lot of it came from corporate sponsors, e council, funding from the Union, and money that was collected from the runners.
Mikac: are there any other questions?
Pandey: How much did you contribute in the past?
Sam: Our greatest total was around 6,000 dollars the past couple of years it has been between 2 and 3,000 this year we are really making a strong effort to increase advertising.
Antani: How many OSU students run in this event?
Sam: It’s open to students, faculty, and staff. Usually there are about 200-250 runners our goal is great then 250.
Mikac: Any other questions? Seeing none we are now into discussion.
Antani: I move for Unanimous Consent
Moved and seconded.
43-B-12 passed with unanimous consent.

43-R-45
Fitzpatrick: I move to move this into immediate Old Business. It’s going to require a 2/3 vote. The reason for this is it is time sensitive and we are already delayed a week with the veto. This new resolution changes the group names please read the names carefully and it also changes the recommendation deadline from the 1st week of winter quarter to the 2nd. Giving the group an extra week the only reason being we need to get this group moving.
Mikac: Moved to consider 43-R-45 for immediate consideration. This was asked to be a secret ballot so we will do it electronically. It passes. We will now discuss this.
Fitzpatrick: All right this is the second version of the Independent Commission on Bylaws the changes are fairly small. Laura and Brett are no longer voting members. Laura is replaced by Brandi at the request of Micah and Brett has been moved to an ex officio and being replaced by a former EGB director. In terms of Senators it was asked that Andrew take on a voting role and has replaced Rhadika.
Mikac: Are there any questions for Sean?
Tichenor: I know one of the original requirements was that you had to be graduating and I know Brandi is not graduating so why was she considered?
Fitzpatrick: Although she is not graduating Micah’s rationale was that she is not running. Take that as what you will. She is not running as far as I’m aware and it is the executive appointment, so I didn’t feel I had the authority to tell him no.
Reinhard: So how were these people chosen?
Fitzpatrick: The executive was chosen by the executive, that’s how it was the first time around as well. That was Micah’s change and basically he wanted to ensure that it was a balanced group.
Tomack: I just want to make sure I’m going along with the right things this group of people will be taking over the old group of people?
Mikac: Yea, just let me clarify as a speaker should do. 43-R-38 was vetoed that was to create a commission on Bylaws that commission is no longer considered because it was vetoed this is a new item that is going to replace those members with a new group on the same subject. So if we pass this item 43-R-45 it will be instead of 43-R-38 that we vetoed. That does not mean we need to pass it but that is what this all means.

Farinacci: Were campaign teams taken into account when choosing these people?
Fitzpatrick: yes.

Gushing: if this resolution doesn’t pass what does this mean.
Fitzpatrick: well that’s really up for discussion that basically means that I will start to go through the changes that I think are necessary to make and then a slew from several senators instead of a unified form from a single group. Basically the whole purpose was to facilitate this from different branches and different levels.

Mikac: questions?
Farinacci: can you consider a friendly amendment to add a line saying that this will affect next year instead of this election?
Fitzpatrick: I do not consider that friendly because ultimately this has to go through P&G and the senate. Amendments like that will have their time and place.
Mikac: to clarify this will be creating bylaws that will then go through P&G
Bruner: Was the structure of this committee supposed to be balanced or non-committal?
Fitzpatrick: balanced, the people who are involved with campaigns are generally going to be involved so the people you want on this are going to be involved in campaigns on various different levels. You don’t want to lose the valuable insights. I would like to think politics stops at the waters edge.
Mikac: any other questions for Sean? Seeing none we will now move into discussion.
Messenger: I think this change politicizes something that was already pretty political internally in the organization in the beginning I think that hand picking people to balance two of the major parties doesn’t actually balance it. I think it’s a good idea and no we have politicized it and we need to decide if this is necessary. I think this doesn’t give the senators enough credit. This would usually come through P&G and then they still have to come through the 40 of us sitting here. We will then have debate. I feel like balancing it out between two campaign parties that are already going to debate about it and then adding senators as a third debate party we aren’t giving ourselves enough credit.
Farinacci: I am completely for this resolution as long as this clause is added and it cannot go into effect until next year. This will eliminate a lot of conflicts and I don’t think you have to pick and choose as of now as long as nothing that goes in effect will affect the current election.
Schaffer: I agree with both Nick and Mike. A more basic aspect if it’s not broken doesn’t fix it. I feel like this is a new feeling turning over a new leaf and I hope you all keep that in consideration.
Bruner: I think its wrong to kind of balance out two teams if we are going to redo the committee we should get rid of everybody and start over. It would be better to have people completely non committed then committed. Like Schaffer said if its not broke don’t fix it.
Fitzpatrick: Basically we did this as a group we did not overturn the veto that would of upheld the group that was chosen before and unbalanced. It would seem to me the majority of the opinion that there was some sort of change because I believe that passed unanimously the previous week. Through both P&G and steering. To be honest I don’t know why you would have changed your vote unless you were swayed by Micah’s argument here I’m kind of done disagreeing with anything that has been said if you were one of the 9 people that was based off of non politics it was the previous nine (9) people. I do respectfully disagree with nick on whether this is necessary speaking from someone who went through a series of bylaw changes last year its really important to have multiple perspectives and multiple inputs when discussing this. And yes you can sort of get that in P&G but it’s ultimately senate’s input. The people who have actually ran for president and vice president who have worked on campaigns from marketing to outreach are really important perspectives to have. Often times I would say they are more important then somebody who has only been through one campaign. This needs to be collective and just beyond senate.

Pyle: So I think someone is looking at this wrong the purpose of this committee is to talk about this outside of senate and Sean correct me if I’m wrong but they will represent these resolutions hopefully many to P&G where they will be evaluated and then maybe make it here to the floor where you will all get to talk about them one at a time and you will decided if they can go into affect now, 10 years, or not at all. We tried to make this as balanced as possible and we reached out to everyone we knew or people we thought might be running and so they didn’t feel we were screwing them over when we made these. I’ve sat on it it’s an important thing to have and needs to exist and campaigns are better for it.

Liles: I think I agree with Farinacci at this point. I think a committee should be non-partisan I don’t’ think you should try to stack the teams and line them up things can change someone might switch sides and then what do we do destroy the committee and start over? I think that the best way to avoid partisanship on this is to say you know anything that passes does not affect this election. If there is something very pressing that does need to affect this election that can still be passed through the normal channels. But anything that is a general problem in the future I feel like we can push through and leave it.

McFarland: I agree with Mason and Farinacci. The only thing I want to add is this could really divide senate I feel like we are working really well together right now and we have all been working well together and I don’t’ want something like this to tear apart and create party lines.

Gushing: by having just two different teams does that hurt the chance of a third party running?

Fitzpatrick: honestly it could. I wouldn’t say otherwise as speaking of someone involved in politics yea not being involved in the decision making process does make it harder for you.

Pyle: Just to answer we have reached out to people from more then two teams.

Farinacci: We need to spend out time on external issues and not internal issues. Furthermore this is going to take a lot of time and is going to create voting blocks. I think the climate has changed since last week teams have been created and vote has
changed on what has been done and I don’t think these issues should be rushed not just this coming year but years after that and rushing to get it done before then isn’t appropriate.

Kedia: I’m just curious. I’m non-partisan and I was taken off as a voting member. I’m not salty I was just wondering why. And the follow up question is you made it more balanced by adding more people from certain teams?

Kamrass: It wasn’t like an individual decision. So I don’t know where everyone is on this and as you guys know I am graduating and I am not running again. But with the knowledge that we had we tried to make it that no candidates that we knew of would feel the processed was being used against them.

Reinhard: So I feel like the first was was a good idea because everyone was graduating because they are a political but that’s not the case some people are still supporting even if they aren’t on the campaign and then you try to stack it and I don’t see how that could possibly work. I’m uncomfortable with how people were just chosen and that makes it balanced out.

Antani: I call to question.

Mikac: Fitzpatrick, Brahm, Mikac. Anyone else?

Fitzpatrick: yea guys I don’t necessarily disagree with you I understand where you are coming from this is amendable if you have a problem with the membership I don’t’ know why you don’t just move to move back to the old membership if that is how you really felt. In terms of Mike’s issue with a year out any piece of legislation is amendable there would be ample opportunity for that to be voice and you have the chance to make that possible. So I encourage you to realize you guys are the final authority of what things come out of this group. I think the most important part for me was looking at a group of people with the most campaign experience and still willing to sit in on this group.

Brahm: withdrawn

Mikac: o.k. I’m going to take a moment and give an opinion of my own because there is something that is not being said here. I believe this is asking members of this senate to adopt a particular slate point of view and the second that a senator does that they are no longer fulfilling their duties as a senator. Their duties are to represent their constituencies and to never represent their slate. And if this resolution passes we are creating a committee with an intention of bring slate ideals and perspectives in, which should never happen. That’s my opinion and with that being said I move to return 43-R-38’s membership to 43-R-45. Which would be a 2/3 vote.

Moved and seconded. Any objection?

We are now voting. All in favor passing 43-R-45 as amended please raise your hand.

43-R-45 passed  as amended 27-4-4

43-B-15 (Tomack) A Bill to Support PB and Jam

Tomack: sorry guys I would like to make a motion to move 43-B-15 into Old Business. I am doing this because their event is Tuesday and I want to amend 2000 dollars to 1,500.

Mikac: this requires a 2/3 vote. All in favor say aye. Moved into Old Business.

Christobek: point of information is there a budget attached to this bill?
Tomack: We have a budget right here. As well as this is Stacey and she is here to talk about it.
Tomack: this is Stacey she is in charge of PB and Jam and I’m yielding my time to her.
Stacey: PB and Jam will be Tuesday November 16th during national homeless week. This will be our 12th annual. We reach out to multiple organizations and together last year we made over 3,000 sandwiches, which we donated to several local pantries. We currently have over 40 student organizations and tables will be posted all over campus. This program will not only benefit local food pantries but also student’s organizations to network and work together. Each station will have information on homelessness. Following the event we will host a reflection as they drop off their sandwiches they can share the success of the program.
Farinacci: did you receive CSA funding?
Tomack: Yes that goes to things like tables, chairs, golf carts, utensils, hand sanitizers.
Mcfarland: Can I take a look at that please?
Antani: my first question is well it might be a point of information but since its moving directly into old business does it then not have to follow the 1500 dollar limit.
Mikac: that was amended it is 1500.
Antani: my second thing is also point of information that is no longer called the source.
Mikac: correct it is the student resource room now.
Gushing: Do people donate money and then make a sandwich? Like how does that work?
Tomack: students come up to the table make the sandwich all-free and then those sandwiches are donated.
Gushing: I guess my question is why don’t we just donate the money?
Stacey: we do it campus wide because it means more as overall service then just giving them the contents this way it came from the whole community.
Mcfarland: from last years budget do you know how much that bought and if this event is on Tuesday if you do not receive funding does this event not happen?
Stacey: If we do not receive funding it will still happen, 300 on Bread 300 on PB and 900 on jelly.
Ahmad: The student organizations that are participating don’t they have to pay?
Stacey: they pay 75 dollars for a table and any money that does not go into paying for supplies will be donated to homeless shelters
Mikac: any other questions?
Hutchison: What are specific items we are helping you supply?
Stacey: we are spending your money on Peanut Butter, Bread, and Jelly.
Hutchison: is there a reason this is coming in late?
Tomack: I received the information at the end of this week.
Perkins: Can we just amend the bill to just say food?
Mikac: yes that's friendly
Fitzpatrick: Point of information how much funding did we have before this?
Mcfarland: 6,400 but remember we just passed the other one as well.
Mikac: ok are their any other questions?
Fitzpatrick: I am just concerned that we don’t have a budget and I don’t think its that big of a deal. We have funded it in the past it’s a really great event and I’m not so exited about 1500 considering we still have four weeks left and total down about 3000. Something so I would actually like to see it more closely to 1000. And it didn’t go to B&F so with that I would move to create a blank.
Mikac: ok this is were we throw out suggestions as to what amount you want to see and why you want to see that and then we will see where the majority lay.
McFarland: I have $1050 with 300 for bread and Peanut Butter and 450 for Jelly.
Antani: My suggestion is $1500. Sean said that we have four weeks left that is true however with no meeting on Thanksgiving we have 2 meetings left and 2 cycles of new business. Yes we will have a limited amount of funding but that doesn’t mean anything when we have a first come first serve. Secondly I think that 900 dollars isn’t to much if that’s the point of their event they should be able to do this to the max amount. Gives student organizations a lot of time for face time.
Bruner: withdrawn
Tomack: withdrawn
Kedia: 75 dollars x 40 was $3,000 but $2,000 from CSA plus our $1,500 I feel like in my opinion that is just a lot of money that could be donated to a food bank and like a dollar to them is like 6 dollars. My proposed number is $700.
Duggineni: Point of information. If we put down 1,500 and we specify if they do not reach that amount we only reimburse them for the amount they actually used correct?
Mikac: correct.
Hutchison: I agree that since their event doesn’t hinge on this and the timeliness of this I believe we should fund this for a portion but not the full 1,500. My amount is $700.
Flis: I yield my time to Laura.
Christobek: just for full disclosure I emailed Stacey offering USG funds and marketing and asking for a table I never heard back from them at that time. My amount is $700.
Mikac: we are ending fill in the blank.
Fitzpatrick: we start with the lowest suggestion and then continue up until we get a majority so if you believe $700 is the best one we will take a vote and if the majority believes that’s passed we go on as usual. $700 dollars agreed on.
Mikac: ok we are now moved into discussion.
McFarland: withdrawn
Flis: withdrawn
Fitzpatrick: withdrawn
Gushing: Call to question
Tomack: I don’t know if this a point of reference but Stacey never received an email from Laura just so there are no harsh feelings there.
Ferguson: I request a secret ballot.
Antani: I wish that this was more and I’m in support of $700 it’s a great event and give a lot of service organization to do some service. Please vote for this.
Mikac: We are now going to vote. Secret ballot has been requested. Request has been withdrawn. We are now voting on 43-B-15 as amended say aye. 43-B-15 passes with $700.

**Presidential Veto**

**43-R-38** (Fitzpatrick) *A Resolution to Establish the Independent Commission on Bylaws*

Mikac: Micah will get a chance to discuss why he vetoed this bill it will then be entered into questions and discussion then we will vote on it. It takes a 2/3 vote to veto.

Kamrass: So to begin things the word veto sounds harsh sometimes, I think this is a great idea and it just could have been made a little better. This is what we do this is very important and can be complicated by our own internal politics whether we want to admit them or not. We want to do this in a way that is fair as can be with internal politics playing a minor part as possible and we wanted to get some new perspectives we didn’t feel that were looked at before. I talked things over with Sean and I felt the best solution would be to veto and then rewrite it with different membership and then try to pass it as amended. We worked on changing things so I guess we have the same proposal with different memberships. We want you guys to look at this and bring in some new perspectives for example last years EGB director was added we tried to include some different’ people. IF you were taken off don’t read into anything it was a numbers game, the group will always be open and if you want to come to meetings that’s great. This change was made in the best interest of the organization.

Mikac: Are there any questions?

McFarland: Is this preventative?

Kamrass: This is procedural to make it more effective and better. I ask you to not overrule the veto. Sean has written up the language of our amended bill and I ask you give consideration to that one which I believe to be more effective.

Mikac: Any other questions? Seeing none. We now move into discussion.

Fitzpatrick: All right I’ll go ahead and start this off. Micah and I discussed this when he told me he was interested in vetoing the resolution. He felt it had some problems with the membership, I think that all of us in this room can understand when internal politics take control and we realize when it should stop. If we choose to overturn the veto I do not believe that the new nine (9) people would do anything improper or anyway bias. I think we all know at a certain point that what we are here to do is represent out constituency’s. So if you choose overturn this I don’t think it’s a big deal. I do think the other group is a great group both will address the problems effectively. Ultimately the membership is going to have a say and then everything will go through P&G and then to senate. So please do what you think is right I’m not going to tell you how to vote it is what it is.

Antani: Point of information. Can you sort of explain the process of what happens if the veto is passed.

Fitzpatrick: I have the alternate piece to be introduced immediately.

Antani: It will then go into New Business?
Fitzpatrick: No I’ll move for immediate consideration, to me this is very time sensitive.
Reinhard: So the people that were on the first piece, those were the seniors who wanted to do it?
Fitzpatrick: the basic requirement we had besides Pat was that they graduate before the end of next year and that was it.
Reinhard: Did they come to you?
Fitzpatrick: I had come to the original and then asked Micah for four names. I then made an announcement in Senate and no one else came forward.
Reinhard: So how did we choose these new people?
Mikac: Lets try to refrain from the new set of membership we are just now voting on whether or not to overturn the veto.
Gushing: withdrawn
Brahm: I call to question.
Moved and seconded
Mikac: anyone want to be added? Collins.
Collins: I have a point of information. So should we consider the new membership or not?
Mikac: this resolution was vetoed there is no item that has anything to do with it at this time is that clear? Remember it is a 2/3 vote and a secret ballot has been requested.
Gushing: is there anyway we can overturn a secret ballot?
Mikac: Anyone can request it and our Parliamentarian feels it is needed so no one feels pressured.
Kamrass: to close things off so everyone understands politics are part of our group and a small part of the governing this would be the best way to do this and if you overturn the veto the group here would do a great job I just feel the new group to be more fair so its not the end of the world one way or another. I just never want to be accused of not being fair so I wanted to make sure I gave the new list the opportunity.
Mikac: o.k. any alternate or senator can vote. Aye to overturn nay to not and of course if you abstain write abstain.
Veto Stands 43-R-38 has been vetoed 13-18-8
Mikac: Ok we are now moving into Old Business.

New Business
43-R-43 (Farinacci) A Resolution to Support Publishing Student Evaluations of Instructors
43-R-44 (McFarland) A Resolution to Establish the Independent Commission on Senate Funding
43-B-14 (Tichenor) A Bill to Support the Ohio Staters Inc’s Light up the Lake

Announcements
McFarland: P&G meets Sunday at 3 mcfarland.338@buckeyemail.osu.edu
Flis: AA has Legislation coming next week and if you could please meet me after the meeting so we can pick a time to meet. Flis.4@buckeyemail.osu.edu

Fitzpatrick: Outstanding teaching applications need to be submitted don't forget to nominate your favorite GTA and teacher for Arts and Sciences. You can submit them to Outstandingteachernomination@gmail.com fitzpatrick.135@buckeyemail.osu.edu

Mikac: The student organization and outreach is conducting round tables one is service the other is for international student organizations if you would like to be at the round table we will hook you up with the appropriate person you need to see. This then leads me into Senator of the Week. This Senator has set a good example of the kind of senator you can be without making a big presence in the chamber and still having a large role and participating. This weeks Senator of the week is Jessica Wurster! atmikac@gmail.com

Adjournment