SECRETARY'S REPORT NO.5, JUNE 2, 2010
REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN AT THE MEETING OF THE USG SENATE

The Student Senate met in Regular Session on June 2, 2010 at 6:30 PM in the Senate Chamber. The Speaker Andrew Mikac presided.

Present: 31 Absent: 2 Alternates: 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antani</th>
<th>Holmes</th>
<th>O’Connell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brahm</td>
<td>Homan</td>
<td>Pandey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruner (alt)</td>
<td>Hosa</td>
<td>Perkins (ab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christobek</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>Reinhard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Hutchison</td>
<td>Reu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeDonato (alt)</td>
<td>Ingram</td>
<td>Schaffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeFrance</td>
<td>Kedia</td>
<td>Schmitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duggineni (alt)</td>
<td>Liles</td>
<td>Shoub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>Mbagwu (ab)</td>
<td>Stanley (alt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farinacci</td>
<td>McCullough (alt)</td>
<td>Smidt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferguson</td>
<td>McFarland</td>
<td>Tichenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzpatrick</td>
<td>Messenger</td>
<td>Tomack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flis</td>
<td>Mikac</td>
<td>Wurster (alt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guschling</td>
<td>Nemire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Senators and Senate Alternates were sworn in.

Approval of the Previous Secretary’s Minutes
Moved and seconded
The Minutes were passed with unanimous consent

Open Forum for the Public
Metzger.181@gmail.com
Kamrass: I’m sitting in on this committee as well so if you have any questions let me know. Micah.kamrass@gmail.com
McFarland: Do you know any of the minority’s goals for next year?
Tom: I have an electronic copy I’ll get to you.
Fitzpatrick: So they are shooting to accept 6,600 people correct? And they think they will be reaching that?
Mikac: Any other questions for Tom? Seeing none.
Parking and Transportation: My name is Doug Lape and I just want to talk for a couple of minutes about a service we offer. It’s called Car Sharing and it’s through a company called Connect By Hertz, you may have seen them on campus. We just want to come and talk to you about them and get any feedback you guys may have. It’s a really great program that is growing like crazy and any additional information is great. You go online to connect by hertz.com you give them your information they do a driving background check and if you clear you’re signed up. You register your credit card so when you use it it automatically charges you. After your signed up you get a card sent to you in the mail this is like your membership card and when you receive this you can get online and sign up for any date time area, and almost like reserve the vehicle. Use your card with your membership number on it when you go to make this reservation. You get an e-mail confirmation as well. Step four is to pick up the vehicle you wave your card at the card reader in the windshield and the car unlocks. Your keys are already in the car and so you just take the car drive it around and bring it back and lock it up with your card and everything is then billed to your credit card. Those are the quick steps, what does car sharing does? Well it does many things it helps alleviate parking challenges, and hopefully allow people to leave cars at home and reduce congestion on campus and lower cost for everyone and help encourage the use of public transportation. We want to team up with CABS and COTA and expand the green initiative. We want to Decrease out of pocket expenses and provide options for people who don’t have cars. These cars are fuel efficient, have parking on campus, GPS navigation systems, ipod adapters and the on star system blue tooth 24 hour road side assistant. There are six locations on campus two locations on north campus on Pearl Drive, South Campus 12th Ave., medical center, and south field house lot. Vehicles are the Pries, Camry, Ford Escape, and a pick up truck. The prices are 8-10 dollars an hour or 62-70 dollars a day. Daily is based on a 8 hour period, for June we have a special five dollar an hour or 50 dollars a day if you are a new member and you want to sign up 25$ annual membership fee but you’ll get a 35$ credit on your account and they do this because they need money to check your background. Usually there’s an application fee but not this month. 25$ a year and then the hourly or daily rate, remember you can cancel this at any time. We will leave brochures with maps and additional information along with my business card and if you have any idea how we can hit the student populations please let us know. We do e-mails and such but any other ideas let us know. If you sponsor any events let us know. Any Questions?
McFarland: Is this just for transportation and parking or what can we ask you questions about?
P&T: They provide the vehicles and we provide the administration kind of like service. They’ve been on campus for a year we are sticking with them they are solid and its working great. We’ve tried a couple in the past that you may have had issues with but we really like working with Hertz.
McFarland: For the Off campus students do you park them off campus? And how is your relationship with those?
P&T: We are just starting to do that we have a student association meeting coming up with them and we have been in touch with Greek life. In the fall we are going to continue working with them. CBH is a marketing firm they are going around and hitting organizations. They'll pay for t-shirts if you don't them slapping a logo on the back.
Farinacci: How many do you have now?
P&T: We started with 10 we are up to 15 and memberships are growing very well. We would like to see in the next six months at least five more vehicles. We are open to your suggestions on where you want to see these vehicles as long as your willing to use them they are willing to put them there.
Farinacci: Are there any in University District?
P&T: Yes that is possible if you've got an idea about a core group of people that would use it then yes.
Antani: Does the University make any money?
P&T: the contract we have now is they provide the vehicle and then we provide the support. It averages out to be the same what we are providing is the operational stuff; we clean and make sure they work the way they should as well as parking. Once the program breaks even 100% there is a 2% revenue share in the contract and will be put right back into the program to benefit students.
Mikac: Any other questions? If you guys don't mind giving us your contact information so we can pass it out that would be great.
P&T: We will leave them back here on the table. Thanks so much for your time.
Mikac: we will get that out in the minutes and if you guys have any questions you can direct them to them or to me and I'll get them back to them.
Parking and Transortation: Contact Doug Lape at lape.10@osu.edu

Senate Vacancies
Mikac: We advertised applications for four vacancies; we received them only for engineering. No one else applied for the other ones. The way this will work is one candidate will be voted into the senate body out of the three applications Steering as chosen. We will then move into exec session, no notes taken, all debate discussion will be left in the room and we will tell the three candidates to step outside then come back in alphabetical order and they will have three minutes then senate will have three minutes once all three candidates have presented we will have discussion period. Then we will vote, count, and then call them back in. Any questions? Seeing none. I have all three applications/questionnaires and we have narrowed them down to these three: Ryan D, Elias, and Kurt G. Can we have them step into the hall as we discuss them. Also remember if your not talking into your microphones please turn them off.
Kedia: I request we move into exec discussion
Moved. And Seconded.
Mikac: Any objections? Seeing none. We are now in exec session we are able to invite people into senate so the people we are inviting in are the candidates. Fitzpatrick: I move to leave exec session.
Moved. And Seconded.
Mikac: The discussion was long but it reflected how good of candidates you guys are we were all impressed. The official representative for Engineering Senator is Kurt G. Kurt is sworn in.
Mikac: Okay we move into Executive Report

**Executive Report**
Kamrass: Semester talk has begun to pick up last meeting for the spring quarter was the other night. It summed up what has happened I have made notes and sent them to Mikac, he will be getting those out to you. The 2nd cabinet meeting was last night and it was great as well for those of you that could make it it was great having you there. Also if you want a memory book there are still a couple left, let Laura C know. There are several bill and resolutions tonight as well as a couple big projects. From Brad and me. Brad wants to discuss recycle bins for every organizations in the Student Union. Also Student defenders project I have an update on. Judicial is now totally on board we are meeting with them today about helping out on that project. Speaking of summer projects if you are going to be around and want to help please let us know we will be around. [Micah.kamrass@gmail.com](mailto:Micah.kamrass@gmail.com)

Christobek: If any of you guys went to the student organization summit this past year one exercise was breaking boards we have extra boards and want to do something with them so my crafty self we are putting together boom awards. If you ever do something extra ordinary and someone sees it you may receive a boom award. This is a cabinet exec judicial panel we will give all of these people award. [Christobek.3@osu.edu](mailto:Christobek.3@osu.edu)

---

**Ohio State**

**USG**

**Executive Report**

---

**2 June 2010**

**Spring Quarter Week 10 (!)**

**PAST**

- **Transition Meetings this Week**
  Micah and Brad are continuing to meet with university staff and administration as part of their on-boarding. They met with Joe Alutto, Provost of the university, to discuss administrative structure and the Vice Presidents’ roles.

- **Semesters Transition Meeting**
  Micah attended the main Quarters to Semesters committee met this week. The main Q2S meetings discuss “big picture” items, while the subcommittees tackle specialized areas (like IT, Curriculum, etc.). He will have more details.

- **Cabinet Meeting**
  Last night was the second Cabinet Director / AD meeting. This meeting was similar to a “normal” weekly Cabinet meeting, with an intro session and break-outs. The theme of the break-out session was goal setting and summer project planning.

- **Memory Book**
  Contact Laura if you want a memory book! We have some left!
PRESENT

- **Associate Director Appointments**
  Vote Today!

- **University Committee Appointments**
  Vote Today!

- **Summer Budget**
  Micah and Brad submitted their Summer Budget last week. The budget was passed by the Committee on Budget and Finance this week, where there were more details to the line items. You will get the opportunity to discuss and vote on this budget today!

- **Student Defenders Project**
  Exec is beginning to explore Student Defenders project with Judicial Affairs where students are trained to represent other students who have been brought to the University Student Judicial Panel. Let Micah and Brad know if you are interested in helping with this. During a meeting this past week, the JP were extremely receptive to such an idea—they would like to move ahead with such a project. Exec is also going to try and expand this idea to COAM over the summer.

- **Recycling Bins**
  Brad has secured recycling bins for the 40 student organization offices in the Center for Leadership and Service in the Ohio Union. boom.

FUTURE

- **Summer Projects**
  Tell Micah, Brad, Brett, Lindsay, or Laura if you will be around this summer to help us work on projects! (kamrass.2@osu.edu, pyle.43@osu.edu, chessin.3@osu.edu, stallings.26@osu.edu, christobek.3@osu.edu)

- **Welcome Week 2010**
  The Exec is planning a HUGE Welcome Week in conjunction with our Membership, Student Org Support and Outreach, Marketing, Intern, and Student Life Committees. If you’re around this summer, please tell us how you want to help! Help can range from a big time commitment to whenever you’re free. Thank you in advance!

- **Finish Cleaning the Office!**
  Laura will finish organizing the office by the end of Finals Week next week. Please contact her if you are interested in helping once you finish your exams! (christobek.3@osu.edu)

- **Membership Retreat**
  The Exec Membership Committee is beginning to plan a retreat for the end of summer / early autumn. You will receive more information about this mid-summer.

FUN STUFF

- **Fun Things to do this Week and Next!**
The Ohio State University Wind Symphony, Thursday, June 3 at 8 pm in Weigel Auditorium
Dump & Run—Ohio State’s Campus Collection, Saturday, June 5 from 12-4 pm at the Wexner Plaza
Senior Crawl!, Thursday, June 10 starting at 1 pm at any bar on High Street
Enjoy Summer!

- **Happy Birthday! We’re doing all of June this time! July, August, and September Birthdays – you’ll have your day to shine soon...**
  
  Nick Parker, TODAY!, June 2 (New AD in GR, State Advocacy)
  Ben Anthony, June 5
  Malavika Tampi, June 5 (New Director, Diversity / Minority Affairs)
  Shannon Buckner, June 7
  Mark Braham, June 8
  Alex Kemper, June 12
  Saul Pandey, June 13
  Anna Yonas, June 16
  Jared Kamrass, June 16 (New AD in Student Life, Athletics)
  Joe Doll, June 16 (New AD in Student Org Outreach, Academic Orgs)
  Andrew Tichenor, June 19
  Sean Fitzpatrick, June 19
  Evan Hughes, June 23 (New AD in IT, Student Life IT / Communication / Marketing)
  Ben “Bumbles” Gilbert, June 26 (New Director, Communication IT)
  Jake Bruner, June 27
  Wade Coffman, June 27 (New AD in Student Org Outreach, Greek Orgs)

- **If anyone knows of a Rank 4 that didn’t get football tickets, tell Laura!!**

**Committee/Constituency Reports**

*Thomas Metzger, CESP presented earlier Metzger.181@gmail.com*

*Laura Christobek, Presidents Council on Sustainability: Last Thursday we had a PCS meeting and we have been working with three consultants which we call HMY just for short and that’s in conjunction with framewor plan the one university plan. Sustainability is just one part there are things like the river, athletics, building on campus these are just our heart. They flew out from Seattle this week and presented to us goal trees. On one side is the overall goal with 7-8 different trees with different objectives that correspond to this goal and specific initiatives. It’s all confusing but this is how we are going to try to prioritize our initiatives. The two big take aways are we will do all of these actions on a holistic level. I’ll let James talk about Sub Committee meetings. Christobek.3@osu.edu*

*DeFrance: The PCS is a group of people who volunteered comprised of staff, faculty, and a number of students. We since November have been working with PCS. Our work is about done; the advisory group is to end in June. What we have managed to do is broaden the scope when it came to students we have been trying to push what students do on campus that impact the environment. As well as making an officer*
that will coordinate and make it into an office. Any questions?

jamesdefrance@gmail.com

Mikac: Seeing none

Sean Fitzpatrick, CCI

Very quickly I’m on the CCI committee and we essentially deal with anything that is dealing with teaching curriculum of arts and sciences it’s our final meeting of the year. We are putting together expected learning outcomes I know Niraj is very involved with that and I have a copy of both Electronic and hard copy of the framework for the GEC’s area so if you want to look it over and give me comments that’s great. Leadership Minor approved for most colleges a lot of objections are academic rigor and a lot of people in USG have spearheaded the updates from the group were not received on time and it won’t be heard this year but next year so there’s a chance that it’ll get passed or failed this year or going onto next year so as a student voice I’d like to hear them. Any questions? Fitzpatrick.

Mikac: Seeing none

Stullings: I wanted to give an update on CSA we had a very fun end of the year meeting last night. Council and Student Affairs met with Dr. Gee and heard what he sees happening within the next year. The semesters transition as well as housing on the east side of high street. These two were the biggest things. We have a lot of fun things in mind so if you have any ideas please let us know.

Project Updates

None

Old Buisness

43-B-4 (Kamrass) A Bill to Approve the Summer Operating Budget

Kamrass: Before you is the summer budget. It is put together a little hastily and is over everything we will spend for the next couple of days up until week 2 of fall quarter. It will cover us through the summer and we broke it down into different committees for senate. I increased your funding because I know you’ve been very active and you should know we don’t intend to spend every cent. Last year the senate approved the budgets and spent about 200,000 so it doesn’t mean we spend every cent. The B&F was terrific in asking questions I hope I provided them with great answers.

McFarland: As he said we looked over this yesterday any questions or concerns were addressed and we feel comfortable about it. If you have questions let us know, and if you see any wrong numbers that need to be addressed let us know. But they have already been flagged and we worked on them and it was passed unanimously.

Mikac: Any questions?

Cook: The Budget that attached in Old Business is it the one that passed yesterday? In Diversity would it be possible to announce the differences?

DeFrance: What might OSU votes be spent on?

Pyle: Marketing material, ballots and we are participating in the Rock The Vote by holding a concert while they bring the talent.
Kamrass: out of 10,000 dollars we make 75% and will throw that in there. There are also a lot of grants available so that everything we can get for that we will subtract that from our total.

Mikac: Any other questions? Seeing none. Lets move into discussion.

Antani: Point of information can we send the amended budget in the minutes?

McFarland: I must of sent Mikac the old version with updates on there so we actually have the correct copy and so we have already made amendments so Mikac should have or I can send a different copy. So I must of sent the old copy.

Kamrass: To fix this I can give the paper to Mikac and he can send it out.

Fitzpatrick: one of the things we discussed in steering was the use of personal senator funds which is 100$ and right now that has no place to come out of the budget and I talked to Micah and for the most part we are going to be working with people on an individual bases and we will work with them to fix that on the next budget that said. I believe the executives did a great job putting this together in a short amount of time.

Farinacci: Move to ask the previous question.
Moved. And Seconded.

Mikac: Any objections? Seeing none. Now we will vote on 43-B-4. All in favor raise your hand

28-0-1

43-R-16 (Fitzpatrick) A Resolution to Create the Ad Hoc Committee on Reapportionment

Fitzpatrick: reapportionment was something I tried single handedly so we are looking at it as a whole and we are making it act as a sub committee. Manly made up of steering but general senators as well. We defined a purpose for the committee to look at and it has five different areas. It will then issue two separate recommendations and that will apply to the 44th Senate then the two recommendations will address all other issues. The people who are sitting on this with their consent are the chair of Academic Affairs and Student Life, myself, Tessa, and Brett who represents the general senate at large. All people on this won’t have the opportunity to serve on the 45th Senate so there won’t be any conflict. Our ex officio chair will be our Speaker Mikac. The deadline for our recommendations is fall quarter that’s why it’s here now so research can be done.

Mikac: Any questions?

Farinacci: What about all other research that needs to be done. Will it be presented to committee?

Fitzpatrick: Yes, in context we did this through P&G last year. But it really should have gone through steering because it holds jurisdiction. A lot of that may or may not change because of it being a number game and it can be applied to whatever the adhoc committee would like. This is looking at a long-term impact beyond just one year.

Kamrass: What Senators would be picked?

Fitzpatrick: I sat with Andrew and we discussed how we wanted this to work and we decided it wouldn’t be smart to put people who wouldn’t have graduated.

Kamrass: so you asked every junior and senior?
Fitzpatrick: No, we asked Tessa because she served in all the debates and then steering added Brett last night. We wanted a more representative group and he was chosen because he is a senior and wouldn’t be around for the 45th Senate. The first two are chosen because they were chairs and we were looking at the impact.

Kedia: How often does this happen?
Fitzpatrick: The last time was the 40th Senate and that changed for the 42nd so that was the last time that any new seat changes happened. It’s something we look forward to as a senate every so often kind of like reapportionment.

Mikac: Any other questions? Seeing none. Moving into discussion.

Edwards: I’ll apologize for being the grumpy old guy because I want you to run your senate but after looking at this I have some serious concerns. I would be outraged if my steering appointed themselves and then approved it, adding the fact that none of them are senators for arts and sciences but are being put in charge of arts and sciences so it seems foolish for this committee to change the format. With this said I urge you to vote no.

Fitzpatrick: Part of the problem is that they are fairly young so to address it I am the president of Arts and Sciences Student Council and I am very much involved. Ultimately it’s one in five parts and needs to be kept in Senate as a whole instead of arts and sciences students. It doesn’t mean that no one else will have an input; one thing we can do is calling you in and have your opinion. This isn’t as simple as saying no we just didn’t choose you but that on the Steering side of things it’s meant to act as a working group for steering because it holds jurisdiction.

Antani: I agree with Brandon however, I’ll take a lighter view, which is that this senate is made up of a young group. I hold the view that it’s not right for people that do no represent this major to be on this. I think this is a good way to do it and the spirit holds. However I want to see a sub committee formed with students from the arts and sciences. I also don’t want to fail this but I think it’s an extremely important issue and I would want to remove this part about art and sciences. Move to remove this back to committee.

Moved. And Seconded

Fitzpatrick: Objection

Mikac: ok we are now moved into discussion.

Messenger: This is actually a really fine line and really interesting motion by Senator Antani. The reason I’m hesitant to send it back to committee would be that it will just go back to steering, which seems to be the issue and I agree with Brandon it appoints a lot of steering members.

Pyle: I would kind of in response to Senator Messenger send it back to P&G instead of steering.

Fitzpatrick: Point of order Steering holds jurisdiction

Pyle: If sent to a committee it needs a 2/3 vote.

Mikac: So it’s a 2/3 vote without sending it to steering. So now we are going to resume the list.

Kamrass: I want to see it be sent back to committee it deserves review and discussion and I don’t think it should be a couple of people that put themselves on it take it back and look at it. It’s not time sensitive we can get working on it week one of fall. We only get one shot to do this so lets take the time to do it right.
Huffman: I wanted to stress that there is an arts and sciences in the group and that is Mikac. It’s mostly just arts and humanities.

McFarland: I was going to say can we open up to Senators or take a list of who wants to sit on it? Will we have to bring it back to the floor in order to let people show interest?

Mikac: No we would send applications.

Fitzpatrick: I think I don’t disagree with Antani. At the same time I have concerns. I think a sub committee structure does allow for that and it doesn’t have to be made up of voting members it could be made up of arts and sciences and it could be added simply in here as a sub committee. It would then be sent back to committee if that’s the concern and I would think about it as friendly. I do encourage you to consider that.

Kamrass: There is an arts and sciences member. Are they voting?

Fitzpatrick: No, it’s a way to keep committee small and get a more diverse representation of Senate so the agreement was made that Mikac would be ex officio chair and not cast a vote.

Kamrass: So no voting member is involved in arts and sciences?

Fitzpatrick: That is correct. The concern was not having B&F standpoint and I would not be opposed to reverse that.

Messenger: To clarify I do want to say that Brett’s addition was not a B&F standpoint but more on a living area senator standpoint. There is no one from arts and sciences on this committee.

Antani: I realize that I’m wrong and Nick is right[1] it would be foolish to send it back to steering, I don’t want to make a committee to establish a committee so I would like to fail this resolution because it’s important and needs to be a separate report.

Fitzpatrick: Sending it back to committee is unnecessary. Vote and then hash it out in discussion.

Lyle: Motion to call the previous question

Mikac: Called to question to refer this resolution back to steering all in favor for returning this to steering say aye.

Motion Fails. Moved to discussion.

DeFrance: Right now this resolution and chatter sound autonomous and not really needed in the senate. I want to appose the amendment line 29 between examine and put seek the.

Messenger: I’d actually like to motion to send this back to several committees. I’m thinking what if we send it to all four committees talk about it individually and then bring it back to Senate.

Lyles: Point of information wouldn’t they have to meet as a whole then afterward?

Huffman: If they send it back to committee wouldn’t they have to meet by the end of the quarter?

Mikac: That is correct we would have to call a special session.

Messenger: Motion withdrawn.

Lyles: We can either hash it out tonight or we can send it back. I don’t know if the best option is to fail it or send it back. Lets decide so we can discuss it or fail it.

Huffman: If we send it to P&G it doesn’t need to be moved, I move to send it back to P&G.
Moved and Seconded.
Mikac: Ok now we enter discussion.
Farinacci: I disagree. I think we should table indefinitely and open the committee to anyone. I don’t think we just limit it to the people that did it. I think it affects the entire Senate and should be part of it if they want to. I urge you all to vote against this motion.
Fitzpatrick: It’s really not necessary. We can really take two seconds. I know it’s a long meeting. It’s time sensitive and we only have a few weeks to be done. I spent two months doing the basic research and let alone doing it in a committee. Opening it up to everyone sounds good in theory but makes it more complicated. We can mandate a sub committee.
Edwards: I move to call the previous question.
Mikac: Ok that caps us at Senator Antani.
Antani: Point of information. What are we on?
Mikac: Deciding if we should return this to P&G
Messenger: Fail or sending it back to P&G I want to address I’m not being appointed to this. I don’t want to sit on this. But if it comes to P&G we will work on it and work through it. We will get it done if it comes to us and we will get it done right. It’s going to look at a lot better and with that said we have an option to fail it and bring it back to fall either option is a correct one.
Mikac: This was sent in new business remember.
Howard: I think its kind of odd me being the Senator for Arts and Sciences and I was never contacted and seeing this is a conflict. I should have a least been asked or offered.
Mikac: Ok now we are voting.
Fitzpatrick: I request a hand vote.
Mikac: Ok we are now voting on if we should send it back to P&G or not. All in favor raise your hand.
11-14
Move into discussion
Facinacci: Consider moving to table indefinitely.
Mikac: If there is a 2/3 vote to fail the motion to table raise your hand.
Not a 2/3 vote we not move into discussion.
Farinacci: Basically I think all Sean has to do is resubmit it if they want to ask them all I want to see is more people on this. I asked to be on this and was never sent any notification.
Edwards: I move to call the previous question
Moved. And Seconded.
DeFrancisco: I’m really concerned I guess that passing this resolution has not been thought about the committee can begin whatever work make a resolution to change the nature of the committee at that point we will have achieved both things. One all people on it and two research done that needs to be done it’s best of both worlds so now we can not deal and deal with it.
Flis: point of information if its as DeFrancisco was saying. If this were failed would people not be able to work on it over the summer?
Mikac: Anyone can research into reapportionment just not under a title.
Lyles: So potentially we could just motion to postpone this and deal with this in senate and alone?

Fitzpatrick: Guys in addressing concerns some of the reasons is because you will be here for the 45th Senate. I apologize if it seems insensitive while yes I know this is Arts and Sciences it is in context of the entire reapportionment. Everything is related to each other. They are inseparable which is why there aren’t two committees. We can create a subcommittee they just wouldn’t have a say with what comes out. Yes people can do work over the summer, no it wouldn’t be an official part of this. To work as a group it’s not meant to be a huge thing, the other thing is you are all under the impression that it will never come back to you but they have to give timely updates and many people will be consulted on issues as they arise! It has a process and the legislation must be approached. It’s not like you won’t have input, I encourage you to remember that you are losing sight of things. Ultimately you can always make a change in the fall there are things that can be done. I don’t think it’s necessary to fail it; it’s not personal in any way if you weren’t asked to be on this.

Micah: It seems to me that we have rushed this I urge you all to vote to table this if you pass this I will veto it. It’s way to important to rush.

19-5-5
43-R-16 was tabled indefinitely.

**43-R-21 (Kamrass) A Resolution to Appoint the Assistant to the Treasurer**

Kamrass: This was brought by previous IOSC its about assistant Treasurer. This was not a process that went through Brad and I but we think it’s a great idea. Eric has already been helpful with different things and I have met him and he’s greatly. I’ll yield my time to Ashley.

Ashley: We had about six candidates that came through for Treasurer we really liked Eric so he would have been in this position as a Freshman so we felt it was good to put him in as an assistant. So that he gets the time to and experience. Any questions?

Antani: So is this appointed until graduation?


Cook: Sean and I were talking about this before it would put the assistant to the treasure in cabinet and it’s possible to put him as an independent officer. I would strike lines 14 and 15 if that would be friendly. And put 2010-2011 School Year.

Kamrass: Yes, that’s friendly.

Messenger: The IOSC when they appoint an officer can we pass a piece of legislation that shortens the term for an independent officer?

Mikac: I’m not sure on the language of their term.

Cook: It’s at the will of the Treasurer I would also ask that Paul Berry be made a sponsor.

Kamrass: He is in Support of this.

Messenger: Can we get Mikac?

Mikac: Yes I’m a co sponsor.

Farinacci: It’s nearly nine o’clock lets agree with this.

Messenger: I call the previous question.

Moved and Seconded.
Mikac: All in favor say aye.
43-R-21 passed unanimously.

43-R-15 (Kamrass) A Resolution to Approve the Executive Cabinet
Kamrass: This is the resolution that included all realm of cabinet for those of you who work with them on special issues. So this is everyone, just about that we have submitted to be associate directors. Applicants were interviewed and we asked them to fill out an application. I'm not in support of this resolution there is one name removed and this evening when we get discussion I would like to see that name brought back. P&G's job is to scrutinize that no one would do damage to organization. P&G took it upon themselves to strike someone; this took a toll of egos and power tripping rather then if they are qualified. The person who was removed was an AD for Student Life working on Transportation and Parking issues. Which was a large platform of ideas. Cartec has been a Senator and he has filled a vacancy. There were mixed reviews about him as Senator. I don't care I wasn't asking him to be a senator part of the discussion was that he had flaws and that he's interested in more then transportation and parking. I understand that part of the discussion was that people didn't think that he had been an effective member. There is a student life director and a chief of staff and a deputy. There are multiple levels of supervision and I understand and respect the Senate's rights. As B&F did with finance I'm not upset that a change was made but made for the wrong reason. We are all Buckeyes that was part of the discussion, that we are not better then anyone else but eliminating someone for specific reasons? I yield my time to Messenger.

Messenger: I do want to address what P&G went through as chair I didn't exercise a vote the committee discussed this on its own. I didn't vote and I'm not going to articulate one opinion or another tonight. The discussion in the room was that we read over his questionnaire and I'll let each individual senator say their vote and why but the final vote was 6-2-1. Every other member voted in the affirmative or negative, we looked at the reasoning as a committee while some disagree. Removing him does no harm to Cartec it comes down to it doesn't make a difference to Student Life to have this conversation. The students don't care and will never know or care to know and none of their lives will be changed. The idea we used and the rational we used was that we were within our rights to scrutinize this. There were 70 some 11 cabinet and countless and all of who received the easy go. They all came in for a meet and greet and no one was questioned. We waved the university appointments along and in the end we approved over 170 some employees. P&G at it's own will picked out one out of 100. It's not like P&G was on a mission to shoot people down that said the questions you have to talk about it is whether or not the politics outweigh the mission of students.

Mikac: Any Questions?
McFarland: I guess, go to discuss Cartec can you give reasons why you defend them?
Kamrass: yes
Mikac: Moved to discussion.

Reinhard: I was one person who objected actually. I'm upset about this. We've been really easy, we've gone through the process they passed. My question is we are making an example out of one person because we have the power. By no means am I
undermining my committee we made a serious mistake. And it was made because people were convinced on an argument. I move to amend and to add let it further be resolved Cartic Berough as the Assistant Director after line 12. Moved. And Seconded.

We need a vote of 2/3 any objections?

Fitzpatrick: Objection. To all do respect at the end we will be friends. Anyway I don’t think it’s appropriate we gave people a fairly easy overview and we still had a problem with Cartic. We work very hard on committee. We read 93 pages of applications and a lot of work was put into this in terms of interviewing and reading. We did a long process and I think it goes to show because six people had a problem with the nominee it’s much more in that in terms of you wouldn’t want this to be in your committee. It’s not correct to do this and in terms of on the other side I was one of the people who did vote. I voted to strike him while I don’t personally know him beyond USG I have no personal knowledge. It came down to I didn’t think he would do a good job in terms of he was interested in Academic Affairs instead of P&T. How relevant that is is a variable. I think you have to respect the work the committee did. It wasn’t about flexing my muscles.

Antani: The purpose of this organization is to help students so why he might be interested in others if he’s not approved for P&G anyone will be. Doesn’t make sense. Not something I would have said. Fitspatrick: Would you not come back with someone else? Kamrass: I would direct he be treated without a title.

Antani: My chief interest is not in USG Senate Chambers but US Senate Chambers, so if he’s interested in something else it’s fine. Gee often says the OSU University is the University of the American Dream, you cannot deny student opportunities. If he wants to work willingly I think it’s wrong raw to deny him.

DeFrance: I know nothing about this except it’s being approached so I am opposed to this because it could have been brought up in a separate resolution. This is the wrong approach all around.

Edwards: James I understand and I agree with a point the resolution on the table I think a decent number in the senate disagrees with what the committee did. People disagree while you might believe otherwise my experience with him he has confirmed and did a solid job. He wasn’t outstanding but he did a solid job. I will be working with him as a chair to the COTA committees and will be in contact. I yield my time to Bryan.

Bryan: My experiences have been limited he came to me with three or four ideas within transportation he would like to come to a meeting if confirmed. This meeting is regarding the board he’s come up with more ideas then some of the other AD’s. Edwards: P&G acted out and I think for the most part the senate believes they acted wrong. I vote to approve.

McFarland: I want to make sure I have things together. He was submitted to P&G and they decided to pick his name out of 100. And now we are voting on whether or not these six people were wrong in doing this?

Mikac: There is a motion on the table to add him as an amendment. In which he was removed. We are in discussion then a vote then to add him. I yield my time to nick
and I know you want to be impartial I would feel kind of embarrassed if they jumped over my committee how do you feel about this and your committee? Messenger: I wouldn’t e upset or offended. Well I am upset if people say all this stuff about P&G because it wasn’t a divided vote. The vote shouldn’t be looked at through the scope but instead that we respectfully disagree and will overturn. There is a separation for powers for a reason, Senate can look at these and disagree we didn’t throw a dart and it wasn’t just one and two people that disagreed. I cross-referenced all lists and Cartic was one of the ones that I thought needed to come in and talk. Members of the committee and I don’t think the vote reflects to vote him through. The issue was that he seemed to lack some things like following through as well as making USG’s voice heard. For applying for the P&T position he had an academic affair focused questionnaire. It’s not a bad thing however his application and questionnaire had nothing to do with P&T. This was why I had him come and talk. I don’t think exec should come to us and tell them that they want it reversed if the Senate decided then I think that is fine. I don’t like it being viewed as right or wrong it was a decision made in committee. I voted abstentions. I don’t like the tone this discussion is taking. I respect they got it wrong and they are able to resubmit and bring it back I don’t like the tone this debate either. McFarland: I just want to make sure that we aren’t arguing between ourselves on who has more power but we are serving the senate and school the best we can the fact that nobody asked for what happened in P&G offends me, because there are a lot of us who did not sit in on that meeting but did not ask what happened. I don’t want to listen to people argue how they feel and get the facts out there and bring out the facts. Farinacci: I told him to discuss Academic Affairs in his application. Schmitt: The decision to not appoint Cartic Absolutely had nothing to do with his membership to any committee certainly not the international and P&G board is fairly diverse and as someone who voted not to confirm him that played no role in the decision. Any speculation or thoughts regarding him in any communities he’s a part. To echo what Nick said I think it’s not all about P&G and that someone needed to go out it was a long drawn out process. There was a general consensus that didn’t know him and not just for intentions. We just didn’t know if he would be able to follow through. Lyles: We did take a considerable amount on this but I definitely didn’t make this out a desire to screw the exec. I did it because I truly had a strong feeling about this and exercised my judgment and I have no problem with Senate. Part of the reason we did this in P&G was to avoid this and drag it out for five hours. I also don’t like the exec council pushing us and trying to ignore us they weren’t listening to the will of all of us in the student body. Kamrass: I never said we would ignore the senate he would continue to work on P&T without the title, which is exactly how it would go he would be one of those people working on those issues we give our representatives. The two concerns I have heard in P&G is that he won’t follow through and like I said we have several layers and I would be troubled if he only focused on P&T. I want people to pitch around ideas and we certainly have no issue working on budget we are cool with that and the last thing I want to say is any of you are beholding to any institution
you are beholding to your constituents. He lives north of lane no buses there are no 
CAB buses and we would like to see some more on north of lane. He doesn’t like that 
there’s not as much busing he expressed this and it seems that he has an interest. I 
don’t think in making your decision you could consider your level of beholden.
Smidt: I haven’t heard a good reason why.
Schoub: Okay we in P&G spent a long time discussing this and everyone spoke up in 
the end of the discussion 2/3 of us decided he was not capable or a good 
representative we didn’t think he would put the effort forward and he was brought 
in because of his questionnaire. During the discussion we didn’t think he would put 
forth the drive and transportation on parking I guess if you have more concerns 
voice them and someone will try to lay them.
Edwards: Move to the previous question.
Moved and Seconded.
Messenger: Motion to move to exec discussion
Objected
Failed
Rue: Moved and seconded to a 2 minute cap.
Reinhard: I just want to touch on a few things I brought this up as a senator. As a 
Senator and I have such a misgiving personality. Because if you just acclaim two 
people who didn’t turn in applications I don’t understand why they didn’t give Cartic 
the same thing. I think Senate needs to give him consideration I also think it’s 
important to consider that we are not above or below him he will have people 
working wit him.
Tomack: withdrawn
Schmitt: withdrawn
Flis: Point of information. So we’ve heard back and forth things, is there anyway to 
find out what he said on his questionnaire?
Mikac: P&G met they discussed the candidates.
Flis: we are just being asked to approve this? I vote on all their opinions? I don’t get 
any information?
Fitzpatrick: very quickly if we choose not to pass this he can be brought back.
Collins: I think we should just consider what Farinacci said and we should consider 
if they are going to be destructive. Not knowing a whole lot about what’s going on he 
doesn’t sound like someone who will be toxic. I think we might as well give him a 
shot I’m apposed to this and I might laugh when I meet him because he’s probably 
perfectly nice.
Fitzpatrick: I again say if you really do want to heart Cartic Mikac can resubmit it in 
the fall and everyone will have the opportunity and adding everyone back in is not it 
will not hurt students. I agree that the executives will do the job anyways. What’s 
the point of the approval process it is in place for a reason.
Hosa: If he rejects this so my opinion is that this has gone for a long time. That’s why 
we have four committees that’s why we discuss it like this.
Antani: I’m not going to talk about this again. There is like this discussion of Senate 
vs. exec and I would like to remind people everyone is a part of USG and we are all 
working together for a common goal and we are here to benefit students. All do
This student wants to be in our group and if he’s not going to be destructive I was going to call to motion but I don’t think any student should be denied the right to join.

Ingram: You all know me I don’t say a whole lot but unlike most of you I have not been able to get up and take a break I have been listening to all of this. I don’t see what the big deal is, this student wants the position a position that none of us want. Lets set aside the exec vs. senate and get back on track and vote about this student.

Lyles: Would it be inappropriate to read the questions?

Mikac: You can explain your vote.

Lyles: I voted no. I think I was wrong. I sincerely thought he would be bad and it’s true, he might do a bad job but we don’t know and he’s not going to be running all of T&P and sometimes you lean on the side of caution and I think we should lean on giving him a chance. We don’t have enough to deny him and as long as exec realizes we have some flaws I would like to see him approved.

Edwards: Lack of follow through, lack of interests, we have the testimony of a person and he is already and has four different ideas and that for itself. I think you guys will make a decision that will allow him to make an impact and you should support him.

Kamrass: Just a couple things I want to reiterate he will not be P&T but he will still work with it. We are opposed to your role you should discuss it with the chair and none of us are perfect. We all need help we all have levels of this. Take worries to Brian, he has a meeting tomorrow with admin. And I want him to be able to say this is my role and this is what I’m doing and each of you needed students permission and there are lots of people who wanted a role and he wants a role and I hope you make this about him and his role.

Mikac: a role call vote the amendment passed going back to the resolution as whole. 15-5-8

Edward: Move to the previous questions

Caped at Messenger

Moved to Discussion

Fitzpatrick: I will respect his opinions to add him back on and I have no comment on the rest of the AD’s I encourage you to support this move for acclamation

Moved and Seconded.


Passed with acclimation.

**43-R-22 (Kamrass) A Resolution to Approve the Executive Cabinet**

Kamrass: Well I have some issues with how they handled these things. The committee of P&G split them up because they weren’t able to attend their meeting. Alex Kemper is here this evening and we think he’ll do an outstanding job. He is someone that in his interview expressed a strong interest in advising. He is here tonight for questions, and then I’d urge you to pass this and pass this quickly.

Messenger: Motion to limit speaking time to one minute.


Fitzpatrick: Can you address why you weren’t at the meeting?
Alex: I never received an e-mail. It was just a mix up.
Edwards: I move for acclimation
Moved and Seconded
43-R-22 was acclaimed.

**43-R-23 (Kamrass) A Resolution to Approve the Executive Cabinet**
Kamrass: This is for Kate Z she wasn’t able to make the meeting because she was working in membership and recruitment that night. She has done a good amount of work and she will be great! It’s an important position and she is here tonight for questions.
Fitzpatrick: Can you address why you didn’t submit a questionnaire and didn’t attend a meeting?
Kate: I had an issue with buckeyemail and gmail
Messenger: Why not give a notification that you were having trouble?
Kate: I must have forgot
Smidt: Is this a new position?
Kamrass: Yes
Farinacci: I’m pissed that people are not looking at these appointments, as they should. We should look at them if they are truly going to be benefiting them and look at them as appointments.
DeFrance: Why does Kate want this position?
Kate: I was a member of the membership committee last year and one of the things I was concerned about was that there was no way to educate us through power points or to tell students what USG was all about. My main concern is creating resources for new members on what kind of things they would need to attend meetings and such. As well as spread the word about what USG is all about.
Mikac: Any other questions? Seeing none. O.k. moving into discussion
Edwards: Kate’s a rock star she did a great job and I move for acclimation.
43-R-23 was acclaimed.

**43-R-17 (Pyle) A Resolution to Approve University Senate Committee Appointments**
Pyle: First University Senate 2nd is University wide and 3rd is Semester Conversion Committee.
Mikac: This is the stuff you applied for if you were applying
Pyle: We did an application and processed them all at once and then Laura, Lindsey, Mikac, and myself worked it out and came up with this.
Antani: Point of information to make a consent agenda, nevermind withdrawn.
Edwards: Was there no voting done by P&G?
Messenger: None.
Moved for acclimation.
Moved and Seconded.
43-R-17 was acclaimed.

**43-R-18 (Pyle) A Resolution to Approve University Senate Committee Appointments**
Mikac: Are there any questions? Seeing none.
Moved for acclimation.
Moved and Seconded.
Mikac: Any objections?
Objected
DeFrance: The very last item. I’m confused it should be a two-year appointment.
Considered Friendly
Moved for acclimation.
Moved and Seconded.
43-R-18 was acclaimed.

43-R-19 (Pyle) A Resolution to Approve Semester Committee Appointments
Mikac: Are there any questions?
Moved for acclimation.
Moved and Seconded.
43-R-19 was acclaimed

43-R-20 (Mikac) A Resolution to Approve University Senate Appointments
Mikac: This is the same situation as before. It’s University Senate Appointments. We got applications and threw them on the list we had two spots and we filled them with Stallings and Christobek. Brad is required to be on here. Any questions?
Hutchison: How did you fill the other two spots?
Mikac: As Chief and Deputy they would be good representatives of the general undergraduate population. As they are a big part of cabinet as well. Any other questions? Seeing none.
Moved for Acclimation
Fitzpatrick: Object.
Fitzpatrick: I do this because Kurt G was recently made a senator and should get the right to decide if he would like to fill out an application and fill one of those spots.
Kurt: Yes, I would like to be on it.
Mikac: We are going to have to amend it, reflect the change. According to our bylaws we have to put any senator on there that wishes to be on them.
Fitzpatrick: I’d like to strike Christobek.
Mikac: That’s accepted. Any Other Discussion.
Moved for Acclimation.
Moved and Seconded
Mikac: Any objections?
43-R-20 was acclaimed

New Business

Announcements
Pyle: Anyone that is a rank four and didn't get football tickets?

McFarland: Good Luck on Finals and everyone come back safe
Sinram: Commencement week is next week and needs a lot of volunteers go to the website and I'll send out a link again. Secondly through the Ohio Union Council we are forming a focus group on dining locations in the Union. Tim Collins has a lot to say about sloopy's I know. If you are interested e-mail me once before school is over preliminary's are in the summer.

Fitzpatrick: Reapportionment. Those who might be interested resubmit it with a sub committee please let me know if you are interested. I didn’t mean any offense to any of you who were not asked to be in this. Fitzpatrick.135@buckeyemail.osu.edu

Kamrass: We appreciate the help but lets not have a night like this again please. Any please let us know your concerns before and maybe we can help you with them. DeFrance: Is there a record of what Senators are staying here this summer?

Mikac: E-mail Keely within the next two days if you are going to be here this summer and she will make a chart and send it out. atmikac@gmail.com or ingram.140@buckeyemail.osu.edu

Mikac: Jake Bruner was picked Senator of the week. He talks least and says most. He returns discussion at hand in committee and is a role model for what went wrong in tonight’s meeting.

I want everyone to shake this off before we return in the autumn. We should remind ourselves that we are here as peers not pulling at mistakes. Careful and considerate and not responding aggressively is what should have happened. We are friends and members of one organization.

Adjournment